Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
OCT 17 1977
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

in the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1977

CASE NO. 77-566

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
Respondents.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
Council for Petitioner (Himself)
P. O. Box 701
Miami, Florida 33145
JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

A. OPINIONS BELOW 2

B. GROUNDS UPON WHICH JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT IS INVOKED 2

C. QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW ON MERITS 3

D. STATUTES AND REGULATIONS INVOLVED 5

E. STATEMENT OF THE CASE 8

F. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .. 39

I. THE TRIAL JUDGE WAS THE PETITIONER'S: JUDGE-JURY-AND EXECUTIONER JUSTIFYING SUCH ON PAGE 6 OF THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT (LODGED HERE WITH MY NOTES OF PROOF) IN HIS MIND.

II. THE TRIAL JUDGE'S FINISHING TOUCH WAS NOT PROPER AND LEGAL BUT UNJUST AND PREJUDICE TO THE PLAINTIFF. THIS WAS THE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY WHERE HE ERRED BUT CORRECTED THIS, THOUGH HE USED PART OF THIS LAW TO THREATEN THE JURY I WAS SUING, WHO JUST WANTED TO GET IT OVER WITH AS THESE INSTRUCTIONS HELPED

INFLUENCE THIS JURY TO DO SO REGARDLESS OF THE FACTS. A YES VOTE WOULD CAUSE MAYBE MUCH LONG WORK: VOTE "NO".

III. JUDICIAL ERROR WAS MADE DENYING THIS PETITIONER PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE AS WERE OTHERS ALLOWED. THE DEFENDANTS STATE THIS EVIDENCE EXISTS AND ITS CONDITION: THEREFORE SUCH IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVE THESE FACTS, BOTH PARTIES AGREE TOO. THIS WAS USED BY THE TRIAL JUDGE TO DESTROY 2 COUNTS OF GUILT. THE TRIAL JUDGE'S JOKE TO THE JURY ON SQUIRTING BRAKE FLUID DESTROYED THE THIRD COUNT OF GUILT WHICH WAS LEFT OUT OF TESTIMONY BY THE COURT REPORTER AS A "NO-NO".

IV. THE TRIAL JUDGE RUSHED THE PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY IN HIS SUMMATION TO THE JURY: AS HE ALLOWED THE DEFENDANTS' ATTORNEY TO TWIST AND CONFUSE FACTS FOR MANY PAGES AS THE TRIAL JUDGE DID THIS ALSO; ANOTHER "NO-NO" FOR THE COURT REPORTER.

V. ALL RIGHTS OF PERSONAL PROTECTION OF PARTIES IN COURT HAVE BEEN REMOVED BY THE COURTS IN DISALLOWING THESE PARTIES TO TAPE THEIR CASES AS PRESENTED. THESE

ARE ONLY A FEW ERRORS BY LAW AND ONLY ONE OF THESE ERRORS GIVE REASON TO GRANT THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI, MUCH LESS ALL OF THEM.

VI. EVERY FREEDOM AND RIGHT OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND HER BILL OF RIGHTS HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS PETITIONER IN HIS TRY FOR JUSTICE AND THESE FREEDOMS AND RIGHTS IN THIS CASE SO FAR.

G. CONCLUSION 48

H. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 50

APPENDIX

Page

A. INDEX OF TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY SHOWING CLAIMED FACTS ..... App. 2

B. GENERAL COMMENT ON THIS CASE AND A FEW FILED DOCUMENTS ..... App. 23

C. UNJUST ADVERSE INTERROGATORY VERDICT CAUSED FROM GENERAL COMMENT ..... App. 108

D. THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE ON FINAL OPINION RULING, OR VERDICT ..... App. 110

E. DENIAL OF THE PETITION FOR REINSTATEMENT BY THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA JULY 19, 1977 ..... App. 112

TABLE OF CASES

Page

Lister vs. State,
1969, 226 SO.2d 238 ..... 41, 5

Seward vs. State,
1952, 59 SO. 2d 529 ..... 41, 5

Raulerson vs. States,
1958, 102 SO. 2d 281 ..... 42, 5

Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co.
1967 202 SO. 2d 209 ..... 3, 4, 5, 20 26, 36, 43

Connie Francis Garzille and Joseph Garzille vs. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges Inc.,
1976, 419 Fed. Supp, 1210 U. S. District Court East District of N.Y. 5, 24, 26 36, 44

THIS CASE AND RELATED CASES STEMMING FROM SUCH:

James Houston Howie vs. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al.,:

In The Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, in and for Dade County, Florida (Case No. 70-22338) ..... (A. 108), 2, 3, 5

In the District Court of Appeals of Florida, Third District (Case No. 75-1690) ..... (A. 95), 2, 6

In the Supreme Court of Florida (Case No. 51,850) ..... (A. 112), 6

TABLE OF CASES (Continued)

Page

Jimmie Houston Howie against Sears
In The Office of the Judge of Industrial Claims: Florida Department of Commerce, Division of Labor, Bureau of Workmen's Compensation September 28, 1971 Judge of Industrial Claims: Judge Hughlan Long. (CC: Parties, Cert. to Carrier, Tallahassee) 6

Rudolph Browd vs. James Howie,
In the County Court in and for Dade County, Florida Civil Division (Case No. 76-546 SP 05) 5, 6 9, 27

OTHER AUTHORITIES

28 U.S.C. §1257 (3) 2, 7

Article V Section (3)(b)(3) of the Florida Constitution 7

Rule 4.5(C), Florida Appellate Rules 7

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1977

CASE NO.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
Petitioner,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
Respondents.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT

A.

OPINIONS BELOW

The Petitioner, James Houston Howie, seeks a Writ of Certiorari to review the judgments and decision of the District Court of Appeals of Florida, Third District in the case of JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE, Plaintiff, Appellant, vs. UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al., Defendants, Appellees Court [Case Nos. 70-22338; and 75-1690], (Pages Trial Transcript) (Pages of Transcript the Third District Court Refused) Wrongs of Courts.

Copies of the following decisions, opinions and judgments are appended hereto:

Appendix A — (What Courts Did)

B.

GROUNDS UPON WHICH JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT IS INVOKED

The decision of denial for the petition for reinstatement filed by petitioner and response to request for petition for reinstatement by the Florida Supreme Court of the United States herein bears date July 19, 1977. The petition for reinstatement was denied on July 19,1977. The judgment is dated July 19,1977. (A. 112). Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1257 (3).

A. Appendix to this Petition.
R. Reference to pages of trial transcript lodged with this document.
W. Reference to pages of this Writ of Certiorari.

C.

QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW ON MERITS

Whether the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida erroneously determined an opinion filed February 15, 1977 (A. 95) unjustly in refusing to accept required evidence proving the errors of the 11th Judicial Circuit, in and for Dade County, Florida Case No. 70-22338, as they advised the opposing attorney how to handle his case just as did the judge of the 11th Judicial Circuit, in and for Dade County, Florida. Judge Knuck of the 11th Judicial Circuit did not even follow case law with one error being he said to the jury: someone else caused my damages not the defendant as he destroyed all 3 counts of guilt admitted to by the defense threatening the jury with law, twisting the facts, and using law to discredit me as he made a joke to the jury getting a smile and nod to destroy the third count of guilt claiming I was insinuating this making me a laughing stock not counting his many many other errors the District Court of Appeals of Florida, Third District filed their opinion of no errors I protested, filing a request how such was possible getting only denial of such information. The law prevented me from following the law in the Supreme Court of Florida but this court was kind enough to deny my petition for reinstatement argued by both parties allowing me to get past these rules and regulations designed to destroy those like me willing to fight for myself when refused any help for me and my country just as I did carrying a gun defending my fellow Americans against an expected attack in the military.

I have to lodge my typed copy of the transcript of testimony to show these twisted errors and why pointing out the Communist tactics used in my trial case infearing me

Communist to the jury (who I was suing). Is that to be the American way, or not? Will I be granted my Writ of Certiorari allowing justice in the American system or will the Communist way of defense override the American system of justice.

Will I be heard, or not? Will the American judicial system allow an American to win out over Communism with facts and proof of claims?

Please grant my writ of certiorari that I might attain justice and reinstate our rights in the constitution and bill of rights now taken from me and my kind all Americans.

United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., is guilty in the implied warranty as well as the actual warranty in addition to being guilty of negligence many ways defined by law: Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co. which they admit.

Actual warranty does not start to expire until the work known of has been completed as agreed by both parties as in this case in writing.

D.

STATUTES AND REGULATIONS INVOLVED

Lister vs. State,
1969, 226 So.2d 238 41

Seward vs. State,
1952, So.2d 529 41

Raulerson vs. State,
1958, 102 So.2d 281 42

These cases state the trial judge shall make no remarks capable of conveying his view of the case to any jury member intimidating him of this view and this Court completely failed here.

Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co.,
1967 202 So.2d 209 43

This is the law's definition of negligence.

Connie Francis Garzille and Joseph Garzille vs. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges, Inc.,
1976, 419 Fed. Supp. 1210 U.S. District Court
East District of N.Y. 44

Shows how deeply the law carries the right of the public to their own safety.

James Huston Howie vs. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al.,
In the Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, in and for Dade County, Florida (Case No. 70-22338) .....(A. 108)

The facts are stated herein.

In the District Court of Appeals of
Florida, Third District (Case No. 75-1690) .. (A. 95)

In the Supreme Court of Florida
(Case No. 51,850) ..... (A. 112)

James Houston Howie against Sears .....(W. 6)
In the office of the Judge of Industrial Claims:
Florida Department of Commerce, Division of Labor,
Bureau of Workmen's Compensation, September 28,
1971, Judge of Industrial Claims: Judge Hughlan
Long.
(cc: parties, Cert. to Carrier, Tallahassee):

Judge Long took us into the hall asking why so low a settle and we said we have to live now.

Rudolph Browd vs. James Howie, ...(W. 27)
In the County Court in and for Dade County,
Florida Civil Division (Case No. 76-546 SP 05)

This case is a complete joke of justice and the death of America with all justice but one point removed: a true discredit to the judicial system.

OTHER AUTHORITIES

28 U.S.C. §1257 (3) 2
Grounds upon which jurisdiction of this court is invoked.

Article V Section (3)(b)(3) of the
Florida Constitution 7

Rule 4.5(C), Florida Appellate Rules 7

These are grounds for appeal in the Florida judicial system.

E.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I. Proceedings below with proof here and also in the Appendix on certified copies of testimony and filed records. A copy of the trial case transcript with my notes in red to explain and show all errors and proof explaining the twistings and lies of fact are lodged in this case a key document in this case showing and proving all facts claimed and proof of proof.

Everything following must be stated as is to show the errors of those in the Court system regardless of their task, against me, to justify the United States Supreme Court granting this writ of certiorari to me helping end America's destruction.

COURT REPORTER'S WRONGS

1. The Court Reporter did not take into testimony how Judge Knuck rushed Mr. Browd in his summation to the jury cutting this to 4 pages, letting Knight lie for many.

2. The Court Reporter did not take into testimony Judge Knuck's joke to the jury who nodded and smiled at its impossibility of brake fluid squirting from the left broken wheel brake system to the right broken wheel brake system with all the automobile equipment underneath as Judge Knuck was trying to infer to the jury the plaintiff (me) claimed to discredit testimony of Mikel Davis the insurance adjuster from State Farm who stated the right rear wheel brake system was leaking brake fluid he saw on the inside of the brake plate looking at his notes which Phil Knight took to check that fact being there.

3. The Court Reporter did not take down all the testimony leaving dashes that were very important.

4. The Court Reporter left out the case Browd cited on admission of evidence that had been misplaced or not available in Court.

5. In a case related to this case: James Houston vs. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., which was Browd vs. Howie in the Civil Court Case No. 76-546 SP 05 this same reporting firm changed testimony on a piece of evidence in this case by leaving out my demands for it from Mr. Browd under subpoena being refused and my fight with Judge Thomas G. O'Connell on this. This same evidence was transcribed through trial as being present even to the point the transcript states I examined this material which was the $11,000.00 offer in writing my attorney Browd forced me to sign before witnesses before being allowed to go to Court on this case here.

COURT WRONGS

1. The judicial system has removed all rights of parties in Court to protect themselves from Court errors, rulings, or errors or wrongs of others against justice or just for themselves, but not allowing those in Court to record the actual facts as they happen a key to justice.

This would give the only real claim against judges misusing their position or power which now is dictatorial or almost absolute. As in my case, Judge Knuck's squirting brake fluid joke was not put into transcript as a *No No.* I have fought this injustice since I took over my case to no avail. Judge Knuck did not even follow case law and told the jury United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., did not cause the accident but Gubby's the transmission mechanics did. Judge Knuck threatened and controlled the jury helping Mr. Knight twist the facts advising them how to handle the case for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., ruling for him.

2. Judge Knuck was so confused with the facts he twisted them which causes an end to justice in the courtroom to a great extent.

3. The Third District Court of Appeals of Florida refused to accept proof of my case handing down a ruling of no errors in the trial court proceeding. They then denied me the right to grant a reasonable explanation for this ruling except request denied. This Court also denied me a rehearing, or a Writ of Certiorari. They denied me the right to file an enlarged brief to end much evidence.

4. I have a right to an impartial unbiased jury according to the Constitution but the rules and regulations

prevented this. I had 3 people on the jury I was suing of a total of 6 persons ½ bias and prejudice with these rules and regulations preventing me from ejecting these and any worse more bias prejudiced person taking their place. A brother of a U.S. Rubber Co., Inc., et al., was on the jury. A Sears Roebuck employee was on the jury also involved here. A ringer was brought in who became the jury member. Knight had trouble here.

5. One juror outright stated he would not follow the law unless he agreed.

6. Court procedure in instructing the jury is bias and wrong putting pressure on the jury to vote not guilty *No* here and go home to avoid confusion and further difficult controlled work, shown in this case of confusion twisted facts: and rules and regulations.

Proper procedure should be: bring in a verdict guilty or innocent with as few judicial instructions as possible for they mostly confuse and scare the jurors. If guilty, completion of these instructions and completion of the jury's work finished as not to confuse the two separate tasks scaring the jury as Judge Knuck did.

7. My father carried his case to the State Supreme Court to get his job back after serving in WWII as the law stated losing as well as earning 100% military disability giving up 25% of it to be with me and his wife, he never got a penny.

My mother is the most honorable woman you will ever meet. She was called a thief losing her job not even getting unemployment. She has legal rights but will not pursue them. She is from the country not the city type and does not believe in such.

Much of my faith in America has been destroyed for me and others and I want to put it back. Right is right and wrong is wrong and today people do not know which is which, look at me, this case, and where I am at. Lincoln said law is simply the difference between right and wrong replying on how he knew all law books.

Every freedom and right of each American has been taken by the few by them setting up rules and regulations only they can follow and the freedoms and rights not taken here are taken by putting a price tag on them so high only they could afford them making justice only for the wealthy. Government no longer works for the people, the people work for the government as is the Communist concept except they have the guts to state such. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., started this Communist scene with the jury in their summation to the jury, and I am going to drive these Communist ideas they inferred at me, down their throats.

To start with, look at United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., and you will see the Communist ideas and concepts are not ninety miles from Key West as Mr. Knight stated inferring they were not here in this court room as he was using them against me. I have a copy of the Communist concepts and ideas, a top secret weapon discovered undestroyed in an attack on one of their strongholds in WWII. I myself have a secret security clearance with the government so I legally could handle all but top secret documents or items.

These concepts are strong and effective. The Communists should have been watching my case instead of the Wilmington Ten case in North Carolina trying to get facts on human rights to get even with President Carter's com-

ment on their human rights involving a Jewish man in the Communist countries. They could have really put our nation down and won on the human rights issue. These concepts and ideas include: confuse the issues, focusing attentions on nonsignificant facts, always saying: someone else did it. Mr. Knight got Judge Knuck to tell the jury that the transmission mechanics caused the accident, which is illegal for a judge to take such a position to begin with. Secondly, Mr. Knight screamed the 3 broken wheel brake system were broken closed meaning this has no effect driving the car or on the brakes. He says Mr. Ramon Cachabella properly bled the brakes through the brake lines as could not the transmission mechanics at Gubby's that Mr. Ramon Cachabella tried to explain it to them. First off, Ramon Cachabella never explained to Gubby's mechanics how to bleed the brake lines. Ramon Cachabella had only about a month plus course on auto mechanics as Gubby's mechanics were lifetime type auto mechanics. Mr. Knight got Judge Knuck to qualify Mr. Ramon Cachabella as an auto expert using Ramon's 2 years plus as an airconditioner mechanic not related to cars. Mr. Knight's remarks about closed valves, have nothino to do with removing guilt from United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., here. That is the Communist concept on focusing attention on some insignificant fact having nothing to do with the facts. Three experts testify bleeding brakes through the lines leaves air in the brake system which can cause brake failure when it reaches the cylinders caused by frequent stops. If the brake valve is leaking (or the system) it causes no brakes, like in the movies. This was proven on my car to have happened. Mikel Davis testified the right rear was leaking brake fluid looking at his notes which Mr. Knight took to verify such notes. Mr. Davis was the insurance adjuster examining the car and reason for the accident. He stated there were no brakes on the car as did the wrecker driver and others with

no skid marks or brake fluid on the streets at the accident scene. Others confirmed this stating only a pool of my blood there on the street where I lay dying on the other person's door. The accident did no damage to the brake system. Further proof of this right rear brake system is leaking brake fluid is the fact that there was little brake fluid left in the master cylinder and none at the accident scene. It had leaked out drop by drop day by day not as stated by Mr. Knight that the valve got looser day by day.

This is where Judge Knuck discredited Mikel Davis' testimony with his squirting brake fluid joke getting a smile and nod from the jury. Judge Knuck said it was kind of silly or impossible for the brake fluid to squirt from the left broken wheel brake system and get all over the right rear wheel, with all the car equipment and things between them. The fact is: the right rear wheel brake system had nothing to do with the left rear wheel brake system here. These were two different counts of guilt United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., admits to returning my car as fully repaired (the contract states a complete brake job paid for). The mechanic states in testimony returning my car not only with both rear brake systems broken but also the left front wheel brake system broken by him also. He states with the store manager of United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., Mr. Rossiter they gave me this murder weapon three times to go out and kill the public at random. Mr. Rossiter states he did not think his mechanic Ramon Cachabella could do my brake job, yet hired him to check the competency of others when Ramon knew too little to check his own competency. Ramon Cachabella who did the brake job on my car under oath in testimony states I am not a mechanic (auto). He said he quit working for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., because United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., forced him to do mechanical work, not worked him too hard as Mr. Knight states.

Have you heard of the blind leading the blind, they still remember the Communist concept saying Gubby's caused the accident.

Gubby's stated they could not complete their work (United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., states they knew that was to be done) because of the broken brake system admitted to. At my request, Gubby's did the best they could bleeding the lines so I could take it back to United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who had done this incompetent negligence type work. Gubby's put so much fear in me driving my car, I had my father-in-law follow me at low speed to United States Ruber Co., Inc., et al., for correction of their errors. The car worked beautiful with ½ brake pedal not needing my father-in-law. Gubby's did as I had requested in repairing their work and adjusting the brakes well enough to get this ill work back to the culprit: United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., which they did putting fear in me of driving this car.

The next morning, I stated these errors to Mr. Rossiter, the manager, who screamed, "We do not do that type of work," causing others to look up. I said yes you did and he went to the mechanical room door yelling across this room to Ramon Cachabella asking if this were true. Ramon answered back yes. Mr. Rossiter returned very humble and apologetic taking me out to my car. He called Ramon over introducing us having Ramon check the work of Gubby's and the brake system. Ramon said all was OK. They tried to get me to take my car and go but I refused, requesting a company car, Mr. Rossiter's car or an employee's car all refused for different reasons.

They convinced me I was safe to go and return tomorrow morning and they would correct their errors. I only had

a couple of calls and the car had ½ a brake pedal and worked beautiful returning the car with my father-in-law behind at low speed. With this proof of safety, returning the car, I accepted their insistance the car was safe to drive. They would not endanger themselves. A few minutes after leaving, I lay dying in the street on the other persons door. This was where United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., fully assumed any and all responsibility again convincing me I was safe to go for a third time putting me on the streets to kill the public at random. Arriving at the hospital emergency, Dr. Davis was making his rounds there and was called to the emergency room to prevent my death which he did. Mr. Knight stated some insurance company hand picked Dr. Davis to care for me which is another Communist type lie. Very good as he lied for many pages in his summation to the jury allowed by Judge Knuck who cut Mr. Browd's summation to 4 pages which the stenographer again did not take down Judge Knuck's conversation on this with Mr. Browd as a *No No* just like Judge Knuck's squirting brake fluid joke.

Mr. Knight's court room tactics representing United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., were purely Communistic straight from the Communist rules and concepts very effective. He won this trial case for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., as he has to the Florida Supreme Court where he had me dead at my rope's end fighting for justice with nothing to fight with. I sent up a smoke signal and someone watching over him screamed bloody murder. You cannot do this. I believe this was Mr. Ober I think a judge watching over Mr. Knight. He requested an explanation from the Florida Supreme Court on my smoke signal. I jumped on that with both feet stating the opposing attorneys and I both want a ruling on this matter legally. The Court did not have to respond but they did denying me this right.

JUDGES' ERRORS

1. I went into a Miami Judge's office in charge of traffic appeals to get a rehearing on two cases unjustly convicting me of a traffic violation. I know the judge's name but do not have the correct spelling now. I stated my claims. This judge told me to forget it. Even if I got the necessary number of signatures on a petition, he would not sign it. His secretary expressed to me to forget it and go live, quit fighting. I will never roll over and play dead. I will withdraw and gather my assets to attack again but I do not intend jouslting windmills. The impossible I will take on with pride. Because others do not have the guts, pride, or smarts, doesn't scare me off. I accomplish the impossible quite often. I am what the Communists are trying to destroy to make their takeover simple and easy. I think for myself right or wrong but listen to anyone on anything. I do not say, "I am only one, what can I do?" I do it. I love, honor, and cherish my country pledging to defend it with my life. Both the Communistic and Capitalistic nations are destroying themselves which I hope to help end. My book is fantastic with more power than the sum total of all the nuclear or other power of both the Communist countries and the Capitalistic countries put together. It expresses how to run a nation, rule people, to sex, showing each how to reach their highest goals with universal peace its impossible task.

2. Here, Judge Knuck rushed Mr. Browd's summation to the jury cutting it to 4 pages while he let Mr. Phil Knight lie and twist facts for many many pages helping him twist and confuse these facts. The court reporter did not record this rush.

3. Judge Knuck with his confused mind on the facts

told the jury: The transmission mechanics caused the accident, not United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who admit returning my car to me as safe to drive three times with 3 broken wheel brake systems to go out and kill the public at random. This does not follow case law. The judge is not allowed to make such remarks. Mikel Davis, others, and Ralph Oliver stated there were no brakes at all on my car at the accident. There were no skid marks, only my blood stain left on a perfectly clear dry day at this accident of a series of stop signs.

4. Judge Knuck told Mr. Knight how to handle his case and then ruled in his behalf getting his request to produce, Judge Knuck destroyed all 3 counts of guilty against me with the stenographer leaving out the case Mr. Browd cited on presentation of evidence not in Court where Mr. Knight's cited case was included. Here, Judge Knuck became: Judge, Jury and Executioner. I believe Mr. Knight's cited case on Sears property involving a customer was on new merchandise purchased requiring it in evidence because of this to prove claims. In my case, I have much conclusive proof in addition to United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., admitting the fact existed. Unlike in Mr. Knight's cited case where there was contradicting testimony by both sides requiring this evidence to be present showing the fact instead of a liar's contest of one man's word against another proving nothing, the evidence had to be present. As in my case both parties admit in testimony the facts exist therefore the stolen evidence in this case need not be present. This improper ruling is a simple trick to discredit evidence by a judge who did not know the facts because he was so confused with them he was trying to force a jury decision he believed to be just so stating all this in testimony.

5. Judge Knuck bringing the jury back in told them since this evidence is missing, you must consider it in a closed position and in working order. The defendants, United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., admit breaking it. Inferring the left front be included as being in perfect shape, this closed position is the Communist tactic of focusing attentions on a nonsignificant fact meaning nothing but it gets the mind away from pertinent facts.

Expert testimony proves hand tightening and slight snugging is all required to close this system, and United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., twist this steel clean into, this proves their incompetence, all one month plus of it. Expert testimony proves this breaking is done while opening the system to bleed it and the only way to safely bleed the brake system to get the air out for bleeding the lines leaves air in the system and when this air reaches the cylinders, it caused brake failure. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., tried to hide the fact they broke the brake system, opening it as expert testimony proves, because of cohesion, rust, elements of nature (heat and cold), and other debris clinging to it in adhesion. Mr. Knight states more lies on these points in his summation saying Ramon Cachabella called Gubby's explaining to them how they could bleed the lines properly as he had done. First off, this one month plus mechanic is not going to tell life time mechanics how to do anything. Secondly, Gubby's refused to finish their work because of this incompetent negligent work until I requested they do what they could that I could get it back to the culprit. They did, and I got the incompetent negligent work back to United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who did this, admitting it, in testimony of breaking the brake system on 2 of the wheels and not replacing broken brake parts on a third wheel.

Judge Knuck through his confused wrong mind told the jury upon their return: The left rear wheel must be considered in perfect order seeing it was lost (stolen by my own attorneys because of personal problems) and United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., admit breaking this part of the brake system (inferring the left front they admitted breaking be included.) This by forced law of Judge Knuck in controlling the jury with his confused mind on the facts eliminated 2 counts of guilt admitted to and was proven in Court.

Judge Knuck covered the third count of guilt of United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., by discrediting Mike Davis' testimony in telling his (Judge Knuck) joke on squirting brake fluid to the jury getting a nod and a smile. Judge Knuck said it is a little silly and impossible that brake fluid squirt from the left rear wheel and get all over the right rear wheel with all the equipment under the car between them. Proof of Davis is no brake fluid at accident scene or in master cylinder as testimony states it was gone not full as required for having brakes. In testimony, I lay dying a few minutes after accepting U.S. Rubber's proof I was safe to go *Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co.* and United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., states I broke both rear wheel brake systems repairing the brakes. I also broke the left front brake system. This was the mechanic for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who had only a month plus course on the whole car plus the mechanical work he was forced to do by United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who did this major brake job on my car for me charging $153.35. This mechanic in testimony states I am not a mechanic (car). This mechanic states he quit working for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., because they forced him to do mechanical work and not as Mr. Knight stated the work was too hard.

Ramon Cachabella wasn't competent enough to do the major brake job on my car, Mr. Rossiter testifies.

Ramon Cachabella worked on my cylinders and valves and such first charging $75.80 on May 5, 1970.

$77.55 on June 3, 1970 was charged for new brake drums because the system was not right.

$00.00 on July 9, 1970 showing them their errors. They, the manager Mr. Rossiter and the mechanic Mr. Cachabella sent me out for a third time with 3 broken wheel brake systems to kill the public at random. Only a few minutes after leaving, I lay dying in the streets with later 4 of my children to die, one regaining life remaining paralyzed and a fifth child was dismembered. My life was destroyed and I lost my wife and kids with all ability to earn a living for a long time being fired often being left with medical problems for life losing all my personal possessions.

Now here comes Judge Knuck improperly ruling on my evidence stolen by my own attorneys to put an end to the American way of justice that the Communist presentation of fact twisted and lied about by United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., through their attorney Mr. Phil Knight. Judge Knuck was so confused with the facts, he helped Phil Knight twist them and then ruled in his behalf.

6. Judge Knuck ruled Ramon Cachabella an expert witness with his one month plus training course on the entire car because of Phil Knight's twisted fact saying Ramon had years of experience as a mechanic. Ramon Cachabella had years of experience as an airconditioner man not related to cars. Ramon Cachabella states in testimony, I

am not a mechanic (meaning auto). He even quit working for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., because they forced him to do mechanical work. They hired him to check the competency of work of others, and he could not check his own because he was too incompetent proven and testified to by Ramon himself.

Now, what type Communistic type trick are you going to use to not grant me a verdict in my behalf for the hell I have lived through. If I traded places with the devil, it would be satin in hell, not me. I claim no other could have endured my hell mentally or physically. I had to scream to keep my sanity and my American drive kept me going. I have lived on sheer guts and the American dream: success. I had no food to eat or money to care for a wife and two children then. Maybe another American dream will occur and the small man will win out fully over Communism, big business, wealth, and power: (me). I am in the big league now fighting for justice for myself, my nation, and the universe, wish me luck for us all.

7. Judge Knuck was so confused on the facts, he felt justice had to be served and as a judge became completely prejudiced against my side of the facts ruling only for United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al. He had control of the situation not fearing letting the jury have the case who I was suing anyway. He had a one track mind and reached his goal thanking the jury for it. Judge Knuck was judge, jury, and executioner foremost in all battle fields of the 3 different broken wheel brake systems admitted to by a mechanic who claims I am not a mechanic quitting his job for being forced to do mechanical work by the defendant, United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who killed all these people and dismembering and paralyzing more for these incompetent negligent types of repairs to kill the public at random.

8. Judge Knuck improperly instructed the jury but corrected that bringing them back.

9. Judge knuck with his confused mind on the facts did a better job confusing the jury than did Mr. Knight using the Communist rules and regulations to destroy justice and get his way.

10. Judge Knuck made his instructions to the jury so tedious surrounded by so much law, with the confusion, they just voted *no*, and got it over with.

11. Judge Knuck ran me out of the court room to hear another case at a time evidence for me was important. I should have had priority.

12. Judge Knuck personally destroyed all my evidence on his vendetta to end my case. Read my transcript with my notes the third district court of appeals refused as proof of my case. This transcript is attached as a rider.

13. Judge Knuck scared the jury stiff threatening them with law. It sounded awesome. It was.

14. Page 6 the ninth line from the bottom, Judge Knuck states he has no interest in this case except a fair trial for both parties but uses this belief with his confused understanding to do all the above. Mr. Knight should have thanked him. He had no defense. The mechanic said I did it to all three wheel brake systems. I am not a mechanic. See how good an attorney money can buy! My attorneys sued me after stealing my evidence (and won) from a judge who ordered the bailiff to escort me from his court room hearing and then heard the case refusing my right to put my defense into testimony.

15. Judge Knuck or others would not allow me to defend myself by recording my case like on his squirting brake fluid joke destroying 2 counts of guilt of United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., from where the judge said it came and the third case of guilt was destroyed as to where this fluid went. Judge Knuck threatened the jury to forget the 2 broken left wheels by law or they would be in trouble. Then he said it is kind of silly and impossible this left rear wheel broken squirted brake fluid over on the right rear wheel where Mr. Mikel Davis saw it leaking, descrediting him. Just because the mechanic testifies he broke all three of these brake systems because he had only a little over a month's training on cars does not make him a mechanic (auto) much less an expert as Judge Knuck inferred such a ruling. This mechanic Ramon Cachabella quit because he was forced to do mechanical work by the United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., which is a shame. Away from this car repair, they are guilty for such work for endangering the public as was Howard Johnson's Motor Lodge Inc. in July of 1976 for the rape of Connie Francis to the tune of $2,500,000.00 settled out of court for $1,775,000.00 for simple rape. They did not protect her well enough with a night chain or intense enough light. He husband was initially awarded $100,000.00 for his sexual impairment.

Compared to Miss Francis, she simply had a little sex not that wasn't a hell to her. I was destroyed with my family being killed, dismembered, and paralyzed not counting worse hells. I want deliberation to start at: $15,000,000.00 and go up. I believe $45,000,000.00 would be fair but hope this United States Supreme Court will award me a total amount of: $100,000,000.00 to advance justice and myself to show these Communistic actions against me and my nation where they can get off. I have no intentions hurting them as they hurt me. I will share my inventions and work

with them so they will show a profit instead of loss if they pay all cost and we will share all proceeds 50-50.

16. Judge Thomas G. O'Connell said every time my mouth opens, garbage comes flying out. It is like a garbage can yet he handled the case I was later in.

Judge Knuck scared the jury stiff with pages of instructions threatening them with the law if they did not follow such after personally destroying 3 different counts of guilt himself. The jury I was suing simply voted no and went home so to speak. This closed position was a Communist tactic having nothing to do with these 3 counts of guilt focusing minds on an insignificant fact to hide real facts as done here to Judge Knuck's thinking proving his confusion with page 6 line 9 from the bottom of my transcript, he justifies his own Communist actions destroying American justice & my evidence presented proving guilt on 3 different counts and occasions. Judge Knuck took what he wanted as fast and ruthlessly as he could in Communist tradition & glory.

LAW'S LEGAL DEFINITION OF NEGLIGENCE

Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co.: Florida App. 1967 So.2d 209: element necessary to establish a cause of action based on negligence are: (a) Duty or obligation by law requiring the actor to conform to a certain standard of conduct for protection of others against unreasonable risk; (b) Failure on his part to conform to the standard required; (c) A reasonable close causal connection between the conduct and resulting injury; (d) actual loss or damage resulting to interest of another.

The degree to which this public safety is to be carried is expressed in *Connie Francis Garzilli — Joseph Garzilli vs Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges Inc.* 419 Fed. Supp.— 1210 U.S. District Court East District of New York 1976. Their Guilt was for not having intense enough light to identify at a distance to keep those of ill will away as not to be identified plus she did not have a night chain on the door to identify such before admitting such. This is for public safety they have a right to. U.S. Rubber Co. Inc. kills, dismembers, and paralyzes the public for acts they admit through negligence and Judge Knuck with Phil Knight's communistic support says Gubby's caused the accident: To the jury I was suing.

Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges Inc. intended no harm. Their lighting was well enough to see for safety but not well enough to identify at a distance. They had locks on the doors.

United States Rubber Co., inc., et al., in this case:

1. Hired a month plus trained auto mechanic to check the competency of other mechanics when he cannot

even check or do his own mechanical work. I had my car at United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., 3 times for them to complete one brake job. Here it is over 7 years later and this brake job paid for in full still has 3 broken wheel brake systems it had each time they gave it to me to go out and kill the public at random which happened the third time they gave me this murder weapon claiming it was safe to drive stated by both the manager and the mechanic backing him up.

2. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., is guilty for forcing a month plus trained mechanic to do a major brake job for it did endanger the public. Forcing anyone to do such work is dangerous. What type of work would such a person do?

3. They are guilty again for allowing someone to do such work they admit they as managers do not think these mechanics have the competence to do such work without having it checked (what they hired Ramon for yet he cannot do it himself.) This broken car was given to me 3 times to kill the public at random.

4. They are guilty again for not telling me these facts. I had to go storming in their place of business telling them what they did and they screamed "we do not do that type work." They told me they had a Volkswagen man working for them: true but misleading.

5. Doing that type work killing people proves their guilt.

Even my own attorneys accuse me of stealing my own evidence in: *Browd vs. Howie in the County Court Case No. 76-546 SP 05* when he and his associates had internal

problems and one took it from the other for personal reasons. I had the building surrounded speaking to the other by phone requesting to call the police and go in and take this evidence by force. This attorney denied such saying it is o.k. I will get it with no problem yet did not.

Browd used legal blackmail to prevent me from getting my case file though he told all I could have it getting a ruling in his behalf and denying such, claiming legal rights he had given up to get such a ruling in his behalf.

I am the only man I know willing to stand up to the system for what America was with hopes that others will join me. We can help ourselves alone if they have the guts to join me.

I'm what America is all about. I work hard trying to do right helping my fellow man giving the world back its loss of concept of advancement. I work harder at being a good man with courteous ways. I beleive in what my nation was and is supposed to be: life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness with freedom and justice for all.

I pledged my life for these ideas and my nation in joining the Airforce a branch of our military services against all enemies both foreign and domestic.

Today, our foreign enemies are not just nations, but ideas of idiots who believe in the take-over and control of others for their own gain.

This includes our domestic enemies like elected or nominated officials from the President down to a city councilman or lower who don't have the guts or time to fulfill their positions fully or do all they can to best serve the

public and nation that these will reach their highest goals of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness with freedom and justice for all. This does not include the lining of this official's pocket at the expense of those he is serving, directly or indirectly. This alone makes this official an enemy of my nation for doing such helps destroy one or more he serves who have to take from their advancement through money or service to line the pockets of these officials.

Again, this area of destruction of the U.S.A. is a major step to her end. Read the communist concept and ideas and look closely at this one area and follow up on it. Man's greed or lackadaisical care-not attitude gets them in a position of blackmail willing to do anything our enemies both foreign and domestic wish which is a worst enemy for we know not his actions of: maybe selling us out, as a people. Have you ever heard of lobbyist, influence of wealth, greed of power, or taking from the many to enrich the few that they can control the masses with no regard to justice for they control this as stated above also. Like the communist concept, one of the first controls one takes, to take over, is all means of communication like the press that their intent might not be exposed destroying them. Recording my case to prove my claims, is the same.

Our forefathers sat and defined with rules and such as a group best man's living together than in man's history of his concept and advancement.

Yes, the U.S.A. had it together. Then our above enemies moved in nibbling away through blackmail or outright talking over these positions of elected or nominated rules and setting up rules and regulations that only they could follow or putting a pricetag on the rights and freedoms so high, only they could afford them. This put

them and their kind in control of justice as they wished it, persecuting the masses and defending them even if guilty. How do you like the communistic twisting of Judge Knuck, and his twisting our law helping destroy the American system, maybe, unintentionally.

I stood before the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida a few months ago stating this offering evidence which they refused to look at after using these rules and regulations on me to control me allowing only a verdict to their benefit refusing reason. I filed this refused material with the Florida Supreme Court, but because of these rules and regulations again I was controlled by the few. They had made rules and regulations that would and did have me at and end but because of one of their mistakes, I regained life and continued on to the United States Supreme Court my next to last chance for justice but my last legal chance in court.

Again, as in the communist concept, these enemies have set the masses to jouslting windmills: things of little value compared to the issue at point which is: they are moving in taking over so they set us to fighting each other because of race or color busing us all over or setting us to complaining about inflation caused by foreigners as they make our paychecks useless with such high prices we can't even eat right as they yell communist giving away ½ our tax money and demanding more using these rules and regulations to destroy us. Sure we have foreign enemies, but if we don't attack and end our domestic enemies, our foreign enemies will have nothing to take and at that point, we the masses will help our foreign enemies take over our nation that we might take it back from them.

Why was the U.S.A. created anyway, by whom, for what reason? Are any of you getting the message?

We don't want much: a chance for advancement, growth, to live well, and have what we want which we will attain for ourselves along with: life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness with freedom and justice for all you had best not take from us or we will destroy you to get them back even at the expense of our own lives. I ask is justice truly blind, deaf, and dumb getting only rejection. I dug deeper finding elected officials voting on things they knew nothing about. Only a couple knew the facts and the rest played follow the leader. Was an enemy leading? Their excuse was they had too much other work to do than this! Well they had better get off their dead ass and make arrangements to correct this problem. This is a time of change, for the better, I hope. If the courts cannot handle the load, they had best grow.

Compared to my hell (if I traded places with the devil, it would be him, satan in hell not me.), Mrs. Francis simply had a little sex. I do not wish to take anything away from her true hell which it was but I had to scream to keep my sanity and live in conditions even worse. I did not roll over and play dead. I did the best I knew how: begging, borrowing, educating myself, and using all means available to exist and care for my family as best I could. I feel I did a fantastic job that was impossible as I am doing well to have reached this court legally with all the rules and regulations put upon me destroying me and like my little girl doing the impossible regaining life again. With human rights in the headlines, I hope to show how great America is and why. The king of Spain, Juan Carlos himself has already sent me a personal letter on my work and efforts. When I re-group my work, I dream of the Nobel prize a dream which helped me to keep my sanity and move forward as would an American like me. My hell was so bad, I tried to describe it but that is impossible. Attorneys will not touch me here

with a 10 foot pole and the Dade Bar Association told me to go away. My own attorneys stole my evidence in question and another judge stated in writing I should see a psychiatrist which had been already completed with not only a clean bill of health but that I was not as dumb as others thought. Today, people are asking me questions about their personal lives wishing advice they take.

When those with freedom quit claiming or screaming for such, they will no longer be FREE the circle is complete.

Please do not join Judge Knuck and the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida in making the killing of citizens at random legal on our streets through negligence as here. The Third District Court of Appeals refused to look at evidence so marked and Judge Knuck outright falsely changed and confused evidence and used his position to hurt me controlling the jury and telling them what he wanted and got, a not guilty verdict for those who admit their guilt outright under oath and many times in other ways on record and offering to pay me off twice both oral and in writting.

Browd, my attorney represented Wilson, Burnes, and Browd as contracted in all dealings with me Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co. I was almost killed in finding my evidence my attorneys took.

Unless we line the pocket of the judicial system, we have little chance of justice, and if it is difficult: they want no part of it letting the rules and regulations destroy these hard heads who try anyway as was I in the Florida Supreme Court because it or the Third District Court of Appeals have it illegal to grant an extension of time to file a writ of certiorari I filed for and was denied: to learn what it was

and file it which I did attaining a chance for justice in the United States Supreme Court only by error of the defendant: I was dead and regained life — another impossibility I attained. This is a classic case for justice if I win giving all hope for their own justice now and in the future.

I am living in another man's ice covered car under more bondage than the negro slave in the movie Roots, with a worse hell being strung up with wet rawhide tied to my two thumbs and two big toes chained hand and foot suspended in mid air with a most horrible monster beating me with a cat-in-nine-tails ripping the flesh as this monster throws wet salt on each cut or rubs it in for ultimate suffering till death caring not, only enjoying it knowing another will take my place here. This monster is the few, the lawmakers, government, the judgicial system, big business, and the communists.

Under such pain, suffering and torture, I stand before you in the American way of life seeking American justice wishing no harm on any yet unafraid of the truth or fear to speak it in the American way fighting the communistic presentation of defense presented by the United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., admitting their guilt getting the judge to tell the jury someone else did this wrong and if they did not vote this way, they would be in trouble with the law as inferred by Judge Knuck and his confused mind twisting facts assisting this communist defense all he could destroying American justice where the rules and regulations could not put an end to me. Watch America and I win for us all.

Prog, East Germany's communist paper stated American dominance has passed: Read my book, man's relations and his forms of government, and see how, if, and why.

I have not repeated anything here. I have simply stated it from different wrongs of those involved in the judicial system in such an entanglement that if it were not true, I would have made many errors contradicting myself: being unable to put together such condemning facts that truly exist I hope to advance the law and my nation with. Every statement appearing the same is not. Read it closely: I will explain any not appearing on the surface as different with why. We pay tax on money we do not earn deducting only the cost for such preparation! We work for the government now, the communist way.

Please grant my writ of certiorari for my justice and advancement of my nation against the communist ideas inferred by United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., in their summation to the jury as being 90 miles away from Key West as they were using them on me in that court room destroying the American system of justice. I know of no better defendant to start our comeback of the American way of justice and life than one using the communistic rules and regulations to not only destroy the American judicial system and way of life, but one doing so. To top that off, the American way of life and justice: me will not destroy them with a full settlement of $100,000,000.00, but will replace this loss many times over in their own advancement if they will help develop, finance, and present my inventions, ideas, and works as offered earlier in and out of court settlement by me.

I am what America is all about: A small man seeking success in freedom, with liberty and justice for all.

I have been in court long enough for the defendant using my money to: replace his loss owed, pay me off, and make a profit. It is like the attorney and insurance man

walking down Miami's court house steps with the insurance man saying boy, you really lost that one, the attorney turned smiling saying she'll never see a penny of that. We had the appeal ready before the jury brought in the verdict.

Is the law used only against those like me as is the attorney's code of ethics against small attorneys? Is the Constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights strictly for the few, or does it still exist for whom? Was Lincoln right? Is law simply the difference between right and wrong? Why was America created anyway, for whom, for what purpose?

Four of my children were killed with one regaining life remaining paralyzed, and a fifth child was dismembered. This did not even start an impossible hell I have lived through I claim no other could have survived. I actually attacked concrete walls to relieve my building tension screaming at the top of my lungs. I have rolled on the floor paralyzed with pain unable to sit or stand in public from physicial damage done here. I have lost some of every one of the five senses except that of touch some of which is in testimony legally. I have been completely destroyed seeing and dreaming of success with freedom and justice for all to retain my sanity working hard at it the American way. I have helped many down and out like myself as best I could waving such proof at the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida. I have been fired 9 times since this accident losing my family living in another man's car over a year to exist. I was forced into crime to exist on paper but paid off in full removing this law violation of many lifetimes. My inhuman hell of inhuman rights would put my nation down if I do not win. The Jewish man in the communist country story on human rights would be nothing compared to this case if I lose my last legal chance for justice here.

The jury awarded Connie Francis Garzilli $2,500,000.00 for rape and her husband Joseph $100,000.00 for his sexual impairment. This was settled out of court for $1,775,000.00 showing the degree negligence is to be carried to as defined by *Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co.: Florida* App. 1967 202 So.2d 209. (Both: W. V)

With all the foregoing, Judge Knuck did not even following case law told the jury Guby's caused the accident not United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., as he ruled improperly telling the jury to forget the left rear as being broken as admitted to inferring the left front broken brake system be included and at another time telling his squirting brake fluid joke eliminating the third count of guilty all done three different times to kill the public at random as he threatened the jury in they would follow his instructions pages long or they would feel the effect of the law. He used page 6 line 9 from the bottom to justify these communist actions.

President Lincoln said law is simply the difference between right and wrong.

Was it right for Judge Knuck to become judge, jury, and executioner of me through destruction of 3 different counts of guilt admitted to threatening and controlling the jury with his confused mind and ideas of one man's justice with a vote of no and go home by those I was suing. I am sure letting U. S. Rubber lie for many pages in their summation and cutting Browd to 4 pages is ok seeing the court reporter left this out of testimony as another NO NO.

I have little defense because the court reporter changed or left out facts and Judge Knuck and my attorney would not let me record my case to prove such as stated outright.

2. THE FACTS SHOWN AT TRIAL

These facts and errors are stated in No. 1. Above and proven there and in the appendix with an index to these facts and errors proven in the transcript of testimony of the trial case retyped as was with my stated proof in red as not to be confused and it is supported by filed documents in the appendix as required for additional proof.

3. THE FINDINGS OF THE TRIAL COURT

The facts of the trial court are there but the defendant and the trial judge twisted, confused, destroyed, and threatened the jury (I was suing) with law adding pressure to just end this unjust case. This transcript of testimony lodged is clear and is required to show all facts correctly proving such. This writ of certiorari proves all: judicial error as well as my claims in my case. This with my transcript of testimony lodged leaves no question of anything exactly as I claimed.

The trial court ruled through error and threatened law a: Special interrogatory verdict: I, was the defendant, U.S. Rubber Co., guilty of negligence which was a legal cause of the subject accident: No.

This was caused by the errors in my case I claim and prove.

4. OPINION OF THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT

The District Court of Appeal of Florida, Third District filed an opinion of no errors, refusing to accept proof of these errors I protested filing documents as to how this was

possible receiving only denial again. My request for a rehearing was also denied March 14, 1977.

The Supreme Court of Florida was kind enough to deny me a petition for reinstatement due to argument on both sides caused by rules and regulations to destroy those like me fighting for my rights alone when no other will help. I had done all possible, so they ruled on this case July 19, 1977 denying me a petition for reinstatement to continue my case to this Court.

F.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

Every horror story of wrong in the American judicial system has occured in my case. Justice has not existed in any court fully: only the Supreme Court of Florida has corrected their own injustice through intended actions intentionally in denying my reinstatement. This Court is where my case should be reviewed and ruled upon. Every right and freedom of our constitution of the United States and our Bill of Rights have been taken from the masses with me being one. Maybe through you and this case, some of these freedoms and rights can be restored to all Americans.

The label of communist was inferred upon me unjustly taking from the United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., in the American system, stated to the jury by United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., unjustly labeling me as they used communist tactics of lying, twisting facts, and screaming someone (anyone else) was to blame. They were so successful, they had Judge Knuck helping them to also do part of these acts to the point he, the judge threatened the jury with law: wrongly stated facts: told the defense how using the law and him he could win and doing so: Judge Knuck the trial judge destroyed 2 counts of guilt admitted to with law unjustly, plus he destroyed eye witness proof of guilt admitted to with his famous brake fluid joke in how it flies through the air getting on the opposite wheel inferring me to be the culprit getting a smile and nod from the jury judging my case and also being the people I was suing with the law removing all chance of me having a unbiased jury of my peers stated by law I have a right to.

These are only a few of the errors I can prove; Judge

Knuck told the jury the transmission people caused the accident not the defense. Judge Knuck was my judge, jury, and executioner. The reason was the communist tactics used in the court room by the Defense completely messed this judge's mind up with the facts to the point as he the judge stated on Page 6 of the trial transcript; he had no interest in this case except a fair trial for both parties and using this belief threatened the jury, twisted facts, and said someone else was guilty but not the Defense who under oath in testimony states they are guilty on all three counts of guilt I have proven herein as well as others (counts of guilt) also proven. Judge Knuck made his explanation of law so difficult and long any jury would vote no and leave not counting how they were threatened with the law.

If you call all the above American justice, I'll call you a liar to your face. That is a key above to the fall of the American empire and justice as is in the communist concept of total ownership of all and controlling force over all is their true number one step to their downfall and maybe the end of earth with life. As here the downfall of America, another downfall of the communist is this continued training of takeover without considering human life is a threat to both nations and the world. Notice how some men return from battle operating on reflex instead of thought and think of a well trained communist feeling safe pushing the death button starting the end of the world which man today can fully destroy with all the radiation of all the bombs of all sides each trying to destroy the other. Maybe just a mistake; do you remember the Russian attack on the United States of America? It was only a natural happening years ago where this communist may have pushed the death button: how about the American pilot who was not going to come back? The injustice in this case is no different. It is the death note of America and justice for all.

I did the best I could with all the rules and regulations of the judicial system. I have no time to do better. Please grant my writ of certiorari for me, my nation and justice.

Read my book "Man's Relations and His Forms of Government," which I wrote for our bicentennial but destroyed down to short note form as not to have it taken from me. It explains all from sex to how to run a nation that each and every ruler or those operating a nation should have as well as every person to live in love with their mates as well as friends with my book's goal, world peace, if it is to exist explaining how and why which is my belief is the only way possible to exist. Showing why, which is related to this case shown here how me, my nation, and the world are slipping down the drain if my Writ of Certiorari is not granted.

I may not be much but as Lincoln stated expressing how he knew all the law in all those books; he stated, "The law is simply the difference between right and wrong, which is shown in a document I filed in the District Court of Appeals before knowing or realizing this fact. I can prove my case fully by law.

1. The decision of denial for the Petition for Reinstatement by the Florida Supreme Court July 19, 1977 So 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1257(3) Jurisdiction of this Supreme Court of the United States is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1257(3) the Decision of the District Court of Appeal, Third District, sought to be reviewed is in direct conflict with:

(a) The decisions rendered by this court in: *Lister vs. State*, 1969, 226 So.2d 238; and *Seward vs. State*, 1952, 59 So.2d 529 or with

(b) *Raulerson vs. State*, 1958, 102 So.2d 281. And that the actions of the trial and appellate court departed from the essential requirements of the law.

2. This Petition is accompanied by a rule required conformed transcript of pertinent sections of the trial court transcript and a supporting brief on jurisdiction.

3. The following are the pertinent facts of this case:

(a) The Petitioner was the plaintiff in the trial court seeking damages for personal injury and property damage caused by the negligent repair and/or breach of implied warranty and actual warranty: warranty does not start to expire until the completion of all work which was not done stated in mechanic's testimony of the car brakes work done by the defendant causing such injury and/or negligent representations of the defendant justifiably relied on by me, the plaintiff.

(b) In the District Court of Appeal, the Petitioner sought review of an adverse final judgment pursuant to jury verdict. (One that was rigged.)

(c) The evidence in the case revealed that the plaintiff had taken my car for a complete brake job not at my place of business but to an expert Volkswagen man losing my company discount by good people. About two months later I bought a new transmission from another expert who advised me of two broken bleeder valves (one broken which was not replaced on the left rear and one on the left front the incompetent mechanic so stated by the manager who hired him to check the competency of other mechanics as this incompetent mechanic was hired as their boss stated in

testimony by the store manager). United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al knew of this transmission to be replaced as in testimony. They broke my car and gave it back as fully repaired. Plager Brothers will replace your brakes for less than $30.00 but I paid over $125.00 plus for an especially good job.

United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al, was so neglectfully bad, they: 1—Broke off the left front brake system. 2—They never replaced the left rear broken brake parts. 3—They broke the right rear brake system to the point it was leaking brake fluid slowly. 4—Not once but thrice they put me on the street to kill any who crossed my path. 5—Not once but twice they tried to pay me off to keep it quiet: first orally for $50,000.00 (I refused), and secondly in writing for $11,000.00 which I signed and refused again causing a court problem for me when my attorney sued me for his expenses and won after stealing my evidence through a judge who ordered a bailiff to get me out of his court. At this trial I subpoenaed documents this attorney refused to bring and when the judge had to wait so long for this attorney's attorney to object to my claims as not to incriminate himself for not, took forever.

Here, I quote: *Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co.:* Florida App. 1967 202 So.2d 209 Defining negligence: element necessary to establish a cause of action based upon negligence are:

(a) Duty or obligation by law requiring the actor to conform to a certain standard of conduct for protection of others against unreasonable risk. (b) Failure on his pa to conform to the standard required. (c) A reasonable close casual connection between the conduct and resulting injury. (d) Actual loss or damage resulting to interest of another.

Here again in the case I tried to quote and explain this relation of the respondents, my attorneys, the courts and their representatives, and those who make or influence our laws in their relationship to me expressed in Part III coupled copies of portions of the transcript as this is behind them and even so incomplete because of the court rules and regulations.

United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al, has caused three of my children to be killed, a fourth to be paralyzed, and my fifth child to lose one finger and have another chewed up, I'm much disabled with huge numbers of medical problems you just don't see on the outside. I've been fired 9 times since the accident, I've been fired twice from the job I now have and threatened a third time, I've been put under heavy indebtedness, I've been outright destroyed—yet here I fight as best I know refused by all legal help fighting to exist, all possible against me has been there: I legally protested having to fight the courts as well as the opposing attorneys (the best money can buy).

Here again, I quoted *Connie Francis Garzilli and Joseph Garzilli vs. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges, Inc.* 419 Fed. Supp. 1210 U.S. District Court East District of N.Y. 1976, to no avail where this negligence here covers what isn't done to protect the public where in my case the respondents did actions to remove safety from the public to the point of their deaths and they had nothing to do with the respondents and are murdered politely called manslaughter yet they hold full and all responsibility they admit to five times being guilty on three different courts of doing this 3 times.

Minutes after the store manager and this mechanic removed my fear and assured me of my safety, I was almost dead not expected to live and worse.

I the Plaintiff was advised by the transmission people they couldn't correct the broken brakes as needed to finish their work. I requested they do the best they could that I could return it for correction by those at fault which they did the best they could. I returned the car for repair with ½ brake pedal working great with my father-in-law following at low speed. Because of this great performance, I accepted United States Rubber's claims of all is OK for me to complete my work and return it for repair then.

Here is where United States Rubber again became fully liable telling me I was safe to go. Here is where the judge is wrong trying to put the blame on the transmission mechanics who said I was not safe rather than the United States Rubber mechanic and boss who were experts in my eyes who said I was safe with proof of their claim in returning the car performing great.

The transmission people said they could not fix it properly doing as I requested to get the negligent work corrected by those who did it who were the ones to erase my fears sending me and mine to be killed and more.

United States advised me work was needed to correct the brake system but it was OK and safe to go and return later which I did taking their advice. During this time they would get the parts to repair the system. Pp. 187 (Ct 3). I the Plaintiff relied on the statements of the defendant and was severely injured when his brakes failed.

The trial court judge made the following remarks before the jury:

"All right, it is more likely the attachment of the brake lines by these mechanics (the transmission mechanics) that

would be the cause of the total brake failure as distinguished from the bleeder valve" ("which was admitted being broken by the defendant)." (pp. 194 Ct-1,2) (pp. 185 Ct-4)

Said remarks are prejudicial error (which) completely misconstrue the facts and mislead the jury since my theory of recovery is justifiable reliance on the remarks of the defendant's mechanic that the car was safe to drive along with the manager backing him up along with the great performance returning it. Surely they would not take such risk. I had only a couple of calls and would be back soon. The car worked fine returning it with no trouble. My kids died and were injured and me too for these claims and assurances.

4. The record also failed to reflect additional comments made by the trial judge in a joking manner which were prejudicial to me the plaintiff. I sought continuously to have the record corrected to reflect said remarks without success. This joke was about squirting brake fluid from one left rear wheel to the right rear wheel discrediting my witness Mike Davis who saw and testified to such brake fluid on the right rear wheel, the possible cause of the accident equally with the left front which was broken, or the left rear which was broken and never replaced. The broken parts testimony proves alone was enough to cause such an accident.

5. Based on the foregoing cited cases, which stand for the proposition that the trial judge shall make no remarks capable of conveying the instructions of him to any jury member intimating to him of what view this judge takes of the case, the appeals court completely failed to follow case law as determined by this court.

6. It is respectfully submitted that for the reasons readily apparent herein and the further reasons detailed in petitioner's brief on jurisdiction, the decisions of the District Court of Appeal, Third District, sought to be reviewed, is in direct conflict with the decisions in all of the cited cases. It is believed that the decisions in all of the above hereby sought to be reviewed is erroneous, and that the conflicting cited decisions are correct and should be approved by this court as the controlling law for the State of Florida.

Wherefore, petitioner respectfully requests this court to grant a writ of certiorari and enter its order setting this cause for consideration, this court should quash the decision of the District Court of Apeal and grant such other and further relief as shall seem right and proper to the court. The request for extension to file was because of the rules and regulations against me and now the civil and Third District lost vital records.

G.

CONCLUSION

The Petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be granted and the decision and judgments of the District Court of Appeals of Florida, Third District should be quashed and reversed.

The judicial errors proven herein and which are stated in the transcript of testimony of the trial court so explained are justification for such plus the defense in the trial court in testimony states: I broke Mr. Howie's left front brake system, I broke Mr. Howie's left rear brake system, I broke Mr. Howie's right rear brake system: and there is more guilt stated.

If the defense states they are guilty, how can this court not grant my Writ of Certiorari and have the decision and judgments of these or this lower court and not quash and reverse their decisions and judgments as would a father help a child that it might reach the American dream success in whatever field it may choose: That is your job and your American dream of success: Do it for yourselves, me, America, and the world: How can you not?

The Petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be granted and the decision and judgments of the lower court the District Court of Appeals of Florida, Third District should be quashed and reversed.

Certainly, most respectfully, this court should review this matter fully: Its injustice from end to end is a true discredit reflecting upon this court. It should end properly in its own court room with the fatherly guidance correcting an

error of his children showing them the straight and true path to success the American dream.

Most respectfully, this is valid reason stated herein for the granting of this Writ of Certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
Counsel For Myself
Post Office Box 701
Miami, Florida 33145

James Houston Howie

BY JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE

H.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that three true copies of the foregoing Petition for a Writ of Certiorari and appendix has been mailed this 17th day of October, 1977 to: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick, and Knight, Esquires, on behalf of the respondents (United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., against my claims this 17th day of October, 1977. This is done in accordance with Rule 33 of this court.

James Houston Howie

By JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE

Appendix

INDEX TO APPENDIX

A. INDEX OF TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY SHOWING CLAIMED FACTS .....App. 2

B. GENERAL COMMENT ON THIS CASE AND A FEW FILED DOCUMENTS ....App. 23

C. UNJUST ADVERSE INTERROGATORY VERDICT CAUSED FROM GENERAL COMMENT .....App. 108

D. THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE ON FINAL OPINION, OR VERDICT .....App. 110

E. DENIAL OF THE PETITION FOR REINSTATEMENT BY THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA JULY 19, 1977 .....App. 112

APPENDIX A

INDEX OF TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY SHOWING CLAIMED FACTS

Page	From Top or Bottom	Line	
6	B	9	Shows Judge Knuck's justification for his Communist acts because of his confused mind.
7	B	17	I lived across the street from U.S. Rubber Co., Inc., et al.
8	B	6	Fact changed by one word as I claimed.
9	B	7	My brakes were broken and Guby's could not finish their work.
10	B	5	The accident was at 143 Street and 8 Avenue Northeast. You could see the row of stop signs on that clear dry day. I felt I had chosen the wrong street to travel.
12	T	1	I could not hold a job and my family was destroyed.
12	T	7	United States Rubber was negligent.
12	B	4	United States Rubber breached their contract and both the implied and actual warranties were still in effect. This endangered the public.

13	T	6	U.S. Rubber breached their contract.
14	T	1	Lies and proof of U.S. Rubber knowing of transmission work to be done.
15	B	1	It was only a few minutes after leaving United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., I was almost killed, not 3 months later. The initial repair was 5 May, 1970. It cost $75.80. Corrected repair here was 3 June, 1970 and cost $77.55. Final corrected repair was 9 July, 1970 just before the accident which was never done. My kids and I were destroyed. United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., are guilty on three different counts of endangering the public on three different occasions they admit in testimony. They state returning my contracted complete brake work, it had 3 broken wheel brake systems after repair by a man who claims he is not a mechanic (auto) and quit because he was forced to do work like mine though the manager didn't think he could, yet hired him to check the competency of other mechanical work. This case made murder of the public at random legal through negligence as happened. They state here in breaking the left front and right rear and that the left rear was broken also. They returned this car 3 times this way as completely repaired as the contract stated.
20	T	6	Court reporter changes fact again as I have claimed.
20	T	10	Why I chose U.S. Rubber and not a cheaper place.

24	T	2	Date I paid with actual cost and my thoughts are stated. I did not get what I paid for. I was not told of these errors and stating such, U.S. Rubber yelled, We do not do that type of work.
27	B	7	I picked up my car the night before the accident. What happened?
29	B	5	Happenings the day of the accident and they occurred.
30	B	9	This shows a court reporter error. I spoke first to Ken Rossiter the manager who claimed U.S. Rubber did not do that type of work.
32	B	6	United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., proved to me I was safe to take my car and go and to return the next morning to correct their negligenct, imcompetent work.
34	B	4	These facts were about the accident.
36	T	1	The court reporter completely reverses the fact changing testimony.
36	B	8	I woke up in the hospital.
39	T	1	The testimony is not correct. Gene Doll, Sears store manager was sent out of state to avoid subpoena and much proof of my great work at Sears before they fired me, falsely accusing me of ill work I can prove is not true.

40	B	13	Testimony was changed again. I better than tripled Sears business as stated to all employees of Sears by Gene Doll the store manager. My worries are shown with my start of a fantastic career of high pay and higher retirement as was that of Connie Francis Garzilli. This shows some of my hell, pain, life injuries and destruction of me, my life, and mine.
46	B	13	Testimony changed is not true; no such fact happened.
47	T	5	Sears fired me.
48	B	10	Lie. Judge Knuck and my attorney greatly objected to me having my recorder.
49	T	9	I was unemployed from 9 July, 1970 (though Sears allowed me to work till March 1971 for legal reasons.) To 8 December, 1972 except for 3 months working for Grant's Department Store. Other jobs not mentioned cost more to work than I was paid. Try feeding a family of 4 on that. I did. The court reporter made errors again.
54	B	1	All facts earlier are just a small part of my hell I have survived.
55	T	1	U.S. Rubber cross examines me. My injuries and education come through.

58	T	1	I must submit to Sears employment date though I have a paper to the contrary yet feel it is wrong. Testimony itself shows some of my injury.
59	T	1	Judge Knuck grants Knight's request and denies Browd, my attorney.
60	B	3	Here is another court reporter error. (America's death)
62	B	9	United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., proved me safe to go.
64	B	3	What trick is this to infer? Sears did not pay all my medical cost. Other items are stated.
68	T	1	Judge Knuck ran me out of court at a critical time to hear another case and I feel I should have had priority.
71	T	6	Signed statements about Gubby's are shown to him and are verified.
74	B	6	The attorney cuts the witness. Facts on brakes are explained.
80	T	5	Air was in my brake system; the right rear was leaking brake fluid. Brake fluid does not evaporate and none was at the scene of tne accident. The master cylinder was empty too proving later the right rear was leaking plus proof here stated later by U.S. Rubber that they properly bled the brake system through the lines testimony here states cannot be done

for when the air in the 3 broken wheel brake systems U.S. Rubber admits reaches the cylinders, there would be no brakes as happened to me as many witnesses state my car had no brakes at the accident and the accident caused no damage to the brake system. To close the brake system, all needed is hand tightening and snugging not twisting steel into as U.S. Rubber states proving their incompetence admitted to.

83	B	11	Court reporter errors again.
87	T	9	The master cylinder is only ½ a pint. It leaked out the right rear slowly as proven.
89	B	11	My wife starts testifying. She only worked 3 months of 10 years of marriage. U.S. Rubber's work destroyed our lives and my children. We had a fun marriage before this accident and will now soon be divorced. Jim's memory is gone. He can't remember anything. I can't depend on him for anthing. Before the accident he never had a temper and now he is moody and goes into a rage. His enthusiasm is shot. When he came home from the hospital, he was a zombie. He tried to work and I drove him—he could not see to drive. The kids were adversely effected (and have not done well since).
100	T	1	Houston Howie my father was sworn in. I saw a big change in Jim after the accident. He was happy doing well. The only marks at the accident was Jim's pool of blood. There

were no oil or brake fluid spots or skid marks. I made Ralph Oliver the wrecker driver check Jim's car twice with Joyce my sister-in-law watching. He stated there were no brakes at all on Jim's car. The brake pedal went all the way to the floor, with no resistance. Jim looked terrible—both hands and feet were tied to the bed, in intensive care.

106 T 2 Grovene Howie my mom testifies. I cried heavily and quietly to myself just seeing her. Judge Knuck offered relief but I declined saying I was fine. In the hospital, I told her I hurt. She stated what she saw. She said I was a happy child. She and pop and the rest of the family sent me lots of money to exist they did not have and never expected it to be paid back. Jim has changed. Now, Jim takes notes and keeps records.

110 B 5 Relis Pouncy states facts on brakes and my car.

114 T 3 Court reporter errors again.

116 Attorney discredits witness flipping questions in his mind.

117 Junk yard man verifies the left rear brake system was broken and is proof it was never replaced as contracted for and about here Judge Knuck tells U.S. Rubber how to handle their case. Then he ruled improperly against me on that. This was page 118 and his

ruling was page 122. The attorney's arguments with the jury out was not properly stated by the court reporter, in between. U.S. Rubber admits breaking these brake systems returning my car as fully repaired as contracted for, to go out and kill the public at random. Upon U.S. Rubber's request to produce, Judge Knuck continued his communistic actions after this as follows using page 6 line 9 from the bottom he justifies at least to himself this destruction of the American Judicial System. Bringing the jury back in:

118-122 Judge Knuck states: "I have directed that in his argument, he is relying on a statement by the mechanic that broke that piece off the valve, that he broke it off in the process of tightening it in the closed position." This has nothing to do with removal of the guilt of U.S. Rubber as proven in testimony. U.S. Rubber states it bled the system properly through the lines. Testimony proves this cannot be done. This is the communist idea of focusing attention on insignificant fact meaning nothing to keep real facts hidden as happened. Judge Knuck advises the jury falsely. He told the jury that they must consider the left rear brake system must be considered in perfect order U.S. Rubber admits breaking inferring the left front be included here (destroying two counts of guilt) because it has been lost (stolen by my own attorneys because of personal problems).Bleeder valves are rarely if ever broken in an accident. They

are broken loosening them because of adhesion, cohesion, hot and cold, and foreign debris and corrosion freezing them closed so opening them breaks them, not closing them as U.S. Rubber claims again showing their incompetence. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., admits in this transcript of returning my car completely repaired as the contract calls for with 3 broken wheel brake systems 2 of which here are ruled by law for the jury to forget them. Judge Knuck discredits the third count of guilt of United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., (all of which is admitted by the Defendant) by telling his squirting brake fluid joke.

Here Judge Knuck was completely dictatorial using communist ideas to destroy the American judicial system as he becomes judge, jury and executioner of me removing some proof of me defending myself not allowing me to record my day in court especially to prove the Court reporter's errors like his squirting brake fluid joke and other wrongs. Judge Knuck justifies this on page 6 line 9 from the bottom along with his confused mind on the facts twisted and lied about by United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., causing this in communist glory beating the American system so far till now. These communist ideas even made Judge Knuck tell the jury Gubby's caused the accident, not United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., as I claimed and proved the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida refused to accept rendering

an opinion of no errors and in my request how; I received simply denial. What do I have to do to prove my case? United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., is guilty on 3 different counts of doing this 3 times offering to pay me off twice to shut me up they admit in this testimony except the $11,000.00 written offer I was forced to sign just prior to trial and the oral offer of $50,000.00 both of which I refused. United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., caused the death of 4 of my children with one regaining life left paralyzed. A fifth child was dismembered. I was destroyed along with my family losing all of them. I lived through a hell no other could survive. I was totally destroyed yet here I stand for what America was representing the Americans before me win lose or what ever happens. Will the American system of justice win over communism as in this case? Our system has lost so far as is our nation losing and the world. How much discredit can the court bring upon itself? I shook the bill of rights and the constitution of the United States of America at the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida stating every right and freedom herein has been taken from me through setting up rules and regulations only those making such can follow them and when they can not take my rights and freedoms this way, they did it by putting a cost on my rights and freedoms so high, only the rich could afford them making the law strictly for the rich. I ask "Do you know how much it cost me to appeal this case to this court? (I waited

and said) thousands of dollars. I'm a poor man." Am I getting across?

President Carter's comment on a Jewish man in a communist country is one thing and my human rights here in America are another. I want justice.

127 Deposition of J.K. Rossiter the Manager of United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who proved to me I was safe to go the morning of the accident backed up by his expert mechanic Ramon Cachabella who checked Guby's work. J.K. Rossiter states he did not feel Ramon Cachabella could do my brake job yet Ramon Cachabella quit for being forced to do such. Ramon Cachabella states in testimony, "I am not a mechanic." (auto) Judge Knuck qualified him as an expert handling this case and Ramon Cachabella had only a month plus course on an entire car. How about that? J. K. Rossiter states Ramon was incompetent here as Ramon Cachabella himself states yet Ramon Cachabella was forced to do this type work and he did the work on my car with me returning it twice for correction after the initial repair and today 1977 he or United States have not repaired it as contracted for.

132 U.SDefense states their guilt saying Ramon Cachabella was not competent yet did work. He had a month course on cars.

135 U.S. Rubber denies knowing me except for a call from the home office to get the records.

136 U.S. Rubber admits I contracted for a complete brake job.

138 U.S. Rubber admits the actual guarantee on brakes is more than 30 days.

133 & 139 This shows more court reporter errors.

141 This shows Ramon Cachabella and his work.

144 Mikel Davis testifies. Mr. Davis states the condition of my car he examined speaking from notes and his knowledge. He states there were no brakes and it was hit not damaging the brake system. Mr. Knight took his notes to verify such. Mikel Davis stated the right rear brake system was leaking brake fluid slowly proven by no fluid in the master cylinder or at the scene of the accident. Judge Knuck discredited this third count of guilt of U.S. Rubber with his squirting brake fluid joke.

Mikel Davis states, there were no skid marks or no brakes on the car with only my blood marking the accident. U.S. Rubber is guilty and admits it.

150 This shows Gubby's competent work and what he showed me the night before the accident with my father-in-law. It proves my case again. Johnny Fumero states again the air can not be removed through the lines as U.S. Rubber claims they did properly. Johnny Fumero states he knew of 2 of the bleeders to be broken in his deposition. U.S. Rubber admits there were 3 broken bleeders.

152 & 153 Proof of guilt air not out of system & both rear brakes broken admission of guilt

158 The Court scared this witness.

159 Jesus Lopez testifies about my car and that I was going to take it back to U.S. Rubber for them to correct their own errors.

161 Proof of Judge Knuck's errors. Judge Knuck said Guby's caused the accident. They did not, it was U.S. Rubber who did all this wrong using communist ideas to say anyone but them did this. Guby's got me safely back to United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., as I requested with fear the United States Rubber removed proving me safe to go.

166 Proof Judge Knuck did not follow case law.

167 Judge Knuck said Gubbie's caused the accident. He twisted facts with his confused mind controlling the jury.

170 Ralph Oliver proved there were no brakes on my car at the scene of the accident.

171 U.S. Rubber made a sharp stab and lost.

172 Ramon Cachabella admits all my claims against him saying he wrote my ticket also.

174 Ramon Cachabella's business card was marked into evidence. He proves United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., Uniroyal, and

Pan American Tire Company are one and the same with other evidence. Ramon Cachabella states I contracted a complete brake job as the paid contract calls for. This was page 180 near the bottom.

184 185 Ramon Cachabella states he broke the brake system on my car returning it as fully repaired. Two witnesses prove him guilty and he admits it. Negligence is admitted outright.

187 Defense told me breaks were proper not stating any wrongs. Bleeder was broken opening it. (VIP)

191 U. S. Rubber knew of my transmission work to be done. Judge Knuck takes control of the jury. He made Ramon Cachabella an expert in court on cars yet Ramon states he is not a mechanic (auto). Judge Knuck says Gubby's caused the accident.

194 Judge Knuck violates case law saying Gubbies were Guilty not the Defense.

196 Mary Mc Cann the young girl and friend with her who collided with me testifies about it and me laying on her door dying and going to the hospital with me.

Here Judge Knuck had to take the court room for another case. I had a doctor scheduled for 11:30 and Judge Knuck said get as much as you can read of Dr. Kilbac

both shafting me (one for maybe not paying) but other doctors proved him dead wrong. This doctor said he looked at the whole man not examining and checking to render an opinion. Did he get even?

201 Dr. Solomon states I have a smelling and hearing loss for life. My permanent loss in taste was brought out in the Sears trial. I'm going blind. The only sense left intact is that of touch. I was referred to Dr. Solomon by my attorneys: WILSON, BURNES, and BROWD. Does that put questions in your head?

My medical problems were continued.

I was unconscious for many days, injuries were severe. I was not supposed to live. My body is still far out of kilter with attacks. I am paralyzed at times. My memory is a problem to the point I was threatened of being fired again. I have over 50 medical problems and was granted 7% permanent disability for life. I still have great pain at times.

235 Judge Knuck's ironclad decision on the third party controlling the jury put a time limit on justice as did the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida. He does not even follow case law. Guilt is proven beyond any doubt even twisted in the communist fashion yet Judge Knuck does not allow such threatening a jury with law I am sueing.

237 Browd's definition of implied warranty is simply the law's definition of negligence stated in Simon vs. Tampa Electric Co. stated word for word herein. It is supported to what degree by Connie Francis Garzilli and Joseph Garzilli vs. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges Inc., 419 Fed. Supp. 1210 U. S. District Court East District of New York 1976. My simple definition is: the right of the public to their own safety by acts of others.

My case made killing of the public at random through negligence legal through precedence though law is contrary to this. Please change this through granting my writ of certiorari. My medical history continues.

260 Cheap shot by Knight was false information. He takes things out of context and infers false facts. When finished, the court asked for rebuttal and Browd declined. I have a lot to say though. Knight is throwing garbage at the jury to get their minds off the facts and confuse them. Purely communistic.

265 U. S. Rubber states here, they only broke the left front wheel brake system only. This is a lie but alone labels U. S. Rubber fully guilty. Their mechanic Ramon Cachabella who they forced to do this type work and who did my brake job stated I am not a mechanic and quit for being forced to do such work the manager states he did not think Ramon could do my brake job yet had him do it. This

is fact herein. See U. S. Rubber's communist lies and how they work. What I paid for and got. My claims proven again. The bleeder valve is an integral part of the brake system. U. S. Rubber admits breaking 2 and not repairing a third one. Browd states some of Judge Knucks errors.

269 I relied on U. S. Rubber's veracity, correctness, and proof of such returning my car at low speed with my father-in-law following because of my fear put in me by Gubby's. I was almost dead a few minutes later when leaving U.S. Rubber. The facts are proven. I lost all I had.

281 U. S. Rubber infers my attorney is of false nature yet he or they as Knight represents are the liars using communist ways to lie, twist facts, and say someone else did this as they do it taking all they can as ruthlessly as possible. Read the list of communist philosophies and you will see United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., is a carbon copy of communism in this courtroom winning over the American system of Justice so far. They infer, they do not do this but testimony proves they do as well as their guilt destroyed in the communist fashion. Knight, you have proven money can buy the best and win even if guilty admitting such. Sure Ramon recognized the brakes, he sold and installed them. Many state I had broken brake systems on my car United States Rubber admits, doesn't that indicate something wrong to you? Even a child will bring you a toy saying Daddy it's broken: meaning fix it so it will work properly or be intact.

The air in my brake system in either the left front or left rear was enough alone to cause my accident not counting the air put in the system by the right rear leaking brake fluid as proven by 2 witnesses with the master cylinder empty and no brakes stated by many. Does someone get the idea something was wrong with my car? All this and the following caused Judge Knuck with his confused mind to tell the jury Gubby's did it seeing I had just left United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., who admit doing this saying come back in the morning and we will correct our errors as they proved to me I was safe to go backed up by great performance returning my murder weapon to them to correct their errors scared. Who is Guilty???????? Judge Knuck did not even follow case law here. No one objected to these court wrongs but me to no avail; I'll try again. This finish is more of the above now stated. Please read Knight's summation to the jury: Every mouthful of words are full of lies in the best of communist tradition winning over the American Judicial System so far. Gene Doll, manager of Sears told the whole store how great I was and they should be more like me yet shortly after my accident, Sears fired me falsely which I can prove and put an end to their liability in my medical problems by force. Gene Doll was sent out of state to avoid my subpoena to prove all my claims here. Apparently, the law is strictly for the few.

284 U. S. Rubber speaks of screwing cylinders to confuse the issues. That has nothing to do with cylinders, a communist twisted fact.

If Mr. Knight confused Judge Knuck, think what both of them did to the jury with fact and law both twisting all the facts without objections from Browd, my attorney: A true red letter day for the communists winning hands down over the Americans in their own system. The communists' only problem is that in the American spirit, I'm still fighting and intend winning somehow. I will untwist enough lies to prove my case. Knight said Gubby's caused the accident also not his clients with their lily white never do any wrong hands though these hands sat on the witness chair and said yes we did. So far, I have had no justice; only controlled as Judge Knuck controlled the jury preventing any justice possible: that is strictly for the few. I am standing up for the American system even if no one else joins me, the same way I did looking down the barrel of a M-16 anticipating death every time I neared a man who had changed sides scared as was I of outside attack expected but never came to my delight. We the many have no rights or freedoms left if I cannot win: these are reserved for the few or those in control of us many.

My book expresses the rise and fall of every empire known with why, how, and what to do to grow and advance to their maximum. King

Juan Carlos has sent me a personal letter through his secretary already and other world leaders await my success or failure cautiously. I have 27 inventions, 3 new laws of physics, and 7 concepts for any nation to advance themselves to their ultimate. My book is more powerful than the sum total of the communist and the imperialist countries combined. The purpose of law is a guideline that each may live in peace unafraid or unharmed by any other, doing what they wish within this law which is created where these encroachments of doing as one wishes merges with the wishes of another so neither will go beyond this point of trespass of another. This is what I have not gotten across yet because of those in control are using these laws or rules for greed, taking what they want here by force with no recourse thinking this best for them retaining control and getting what they want. I can prove them wrong expressing how they could have many times this.

293 **Judge Knuck cut Browd's summation to the jury to 4 pages while he let Knight lie and twist facts for many pages and the court reporter did not take this down.**

Have you seen the standardization of the press, its public use removal, and such? That is a first in the communist concept.

297 **Judge Knuck threatens the jury with the law.**

300 **Judge Knuck's errors instructing the jury are shown. Court procedure is also wrong.**

302 Another threat to the jury is given.

308 The jury I was sueing brought in Judge Knuck's forced decision.

309 Browd Polled the jury and they affirmed their vote *NO*.

310 Certificate.

KEY PAGES OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT, 194-195, 187, 185, 83, 89, 97, 98, 112, 117, 118, 120, 122, 130, 132, 152.

Rules and regulations have caused me a last minute change. I tried to be careful.

APPENDIX B

GENERAL COMMENT ON THIS CASE

In my trial case in the circuit court, Judge Knuck didn't even follow case law. He didn't allow me rights other were allowed in presentation of my evidence. He took hold of the jury in his position and forced his wishes of opinion on them getting the verdict he wanted. He completely confused all the evidence and told the jury not to consider it. He created the verdict, not the jury though they went through the procedures. Judge Knuck ran me out of the courtroom so he could hear another case. He rushed my attorney in the closing so not to come back later. He told the jury a joke about squirting brake fluid from my left rear broken brake system to the right rear wheel brake system and how impossible that was getting a half smile nod from them and discrediting Mikel Davis's testimony of seeing this right rear wheel leaking brake fluid which was away from the accident. Mr. Davis was asked to check his notes, which he did in making his statement. (This is how movies kill people.) Upon denial of the Florida Supreme Court, even a copy of such was sent to Judge Knuck. Judge Knuck told the jury that the brake failure was probably caused by the transmission mechanics and not The United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al.,. If that isn't telling the jury how to vote, what is. Judge Knuck told the opposing attorney to cause the production of the missing part leading into much of the above injustice. Here he expressed to this attorney how to handle his case and used his position as a Judge to rule in this attorney's behalf unjustly. Judge Knuck after this point told the jury because this part of the brake system is lost (stolen), and The United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., (along with a beautiful performance of the opposing attorney) (maybe set up) admit breaking this brake system,

this evidence must be considered in perfect order. What kind of illegal shaft of justice is this. To start with, these mechanics admit breaking the left front brake system in such a manner (knowing of the transmission work to follow) they claim would not cause this accident admitting their incompetence claiming they twisted steel into tightening the brake system when in fact my proof shows this is done when opening the system to work on it because of cohesion of metals due to change of heat as well as corrosion or foreign materials affecting this sticking. Upon trying to open this system after a long period of time these elements prevent such to the point this steel is twisted off requiring removal of that left in which is very difficult and tedious. All that is required to close the system is hand tightening and snugging stated in evidence. If they did this, it proves their incompetence they also admit on record. The fact is: They broke this left front brake system opening it as explained doing nothing else to repair it because of the difficulty. Here testimony proves no matter using the lines or such that air would remain in this system moving into the cylinders causing this same brake failure I had, because of no brakes. Olie who towed my car in said there were no brakes on my car. While my car was impounded my father demanded this to be checked again twice which was done with no brakes of any kind. Testimony proves being hit as I was does not cause brake failure yet I had no brakes.

Judge Knuck made many errors here: First the lost (stolen) part was on proof refused by the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida long with other evidence refused there. This was not the part the United Sates Rubber Co. Inc., et al., admits breaking. This was the left rear already broken and agreed to be replaced but never was. This same evidence proves would cause this same brake failure for the same reason of air in the system getting into

the cylinders with no other way of correction to prevent such except by replacement as stated above the same way tedious and hard.

Proof here Judge Knuck made not only false but damaging statements of me to the jury not counting all his other errors against me through my evidence.

Because of Judge Knuck's position, statements he made damaging me were left out of testimony maybe as a courtesy which I submitted in legal documents still fact herein stated again his joke of squirting brake fluid.

The United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., broke my left front brake system under oath saying I did it. The United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., never repaired the left rear brake system agreed to be fixed. The United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., improperly repaired the right rear brake system because it was slowly leaking brake fluid. At this point The United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., returned my car as fully repaired to take this death trap out onto the streets and kill any citizen who crossed my path at the wrong time or I crossed theirs maybe killing them as they sat in their livingroom. Cars do crash through homes I can prove. Not only is United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., guilty on three different counts stated above they admit but they did this twice. The second time they did this, I was almost dead about 20 minutes after leaving them. United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al., had their brass and mechanic prove to me I was safe to go along with prior performance of my car and their examination of such till I returned shortly. A few minutes later I almost killed two young school girls. I ripped my car door off the hinges throwing it into the middle of the street with my body. Then I jumped on top of it to see how red I could make that blue door. I

did a good job. Like my daughter now paralyzed, I broke all the odds and lived with medical problems for life. Counting her dead at her accident but having life restored, The United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., has caused the death of four of my children one regaining life remaining paralyzed. My fifth child has been dismembered. My family has been destroyed and I divorced by a lovely nice woman who under oath stated we had a fun marriage. I was earning in excess of $350.00 a week in paychecks given me the last 21 weeks of work with a great future held out as an example of greatness before the accident and fired falsely after such for not doing my job right. Because my job was taken and I was destroyed, I went for 19 of 22 months with no income to then feed a wife and 2 kids. This forced me into crime to care for my family as best I could. This crime was on paper which I can prove as well as show how I later corrected this criminal action legally that I came out clean. That is only part of my hell, but this is where Judge Knuck sent me stating these three different counts of doing this twice to the jury do not exist. Judge Knuck falsely stated to the jury to disregard the left missing rear brake part inferring the left front broken brake part be included. Then Judge Knuck made a joke about the right rear leaking stating his joke of squirting brake fluid how impossible it is to squirt from the left rear broken brake system getting on the right rear wheel with all the other equipment under the car preventing such. This was neatly left out of testimony though it got agreement nods from the jury.

Trying to show acts of negligence are illegal to the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida where Judge Knuck destroyed all three counts of negligence of the United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., they admit many times in many ways, I expressed the case of Connie Francis vs. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodge Inc. Here, big business

is guilty for negligence for not acting to protect the public where, in my case, big business is not guilty for negligence for outright removing safety from the public. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodge Inc. had outside lighting to see but not well enough to identify and to discourage intruders plus they did not have a night chain on the door to examine intruders before admitting such. Such came, had sex with her, and left. The jury awarded her $2,500,000.00 and her husband was awarded $100,000.00 for his sexual impairment. Here, my error was the case was in appeal, but in my request for a new hearing, I stated this case was settled out of court for $1,775,000.00 but rehearing was denied as was other evidence offered and other documents in appeal.

The American dream success is being destroyed by greed and down looking of our leaders in each position they attained by election, nomination, or those in control through money.

Instead of government working for the people as the American system was designed, today the people work for the government. Haven't you heard the people screaming they are in a rat race each working 48 hours a day: 9 days a week and are unable to pay their expense to live and have a simple easy going pleasant life with freedom and justice for all?

They run to the country working themselves to the bone to raise enough food and pay the required expense to do so yet this living off the land is one of the best.

Maybe they run to the city finding the cost of rent, food and required clothing to be acceptable usually 3 times to twice what they earn.

Somewhere in between they try to live as a farmer and his cost and earn a living in the city.

Our problem is those controlling our cost to live and those who are trying to prevent us from moving up in their position by setting up the rules and regulations by which we live are stripping us of the American dream: success by making such almost impossible thinking: let me see, how high can I run prices and get the mass idiots to pay for these services so I can earn a bigger profit: electric power, phones, and necessities of life.

The foreign markets should have enough freedom to keep these crroks at least a little honest but not to control them.

Taxes are impossible with auto insurance the biggest crooks in our nation using government to steal from its citizens.

If one of these masses stand up to speak these wrongs: it is sudden death: read this entire case and see this sudden death and my hell.

The Communists have surpassed us in many, many areas: I know why: look at Viet Nam and South Africa: look at this case. We gave them high pressure ball bearing equipment they didn't have for their missiles plus we gave them our Univac or IBM system to help them here to surpass us here.

Our fear in communism is not their weapons: it is that one or group willing to cause nuclear war to take over what is left: Do you remember the millions they sacrificed toward agriculture. Their desire of control is one of their downfalls

they do not see. If they were given the world: with their every desire: they could not keep and control it even through force.

The American system now is not much better: at least the communists say the people will work for the government. The above American approach will destroy just as quickly as the communist will.

The communists cannot take over and the Americans cannot remove the rights and freedoms as here in my case taken from me in true communist fashion.

Let us show the communists true American justice: the small man (me) against America's largest business alone winning through the judicial system: a case to exemplify what America stands for: to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for our common defense for ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America.

This would give the small man hope forever of success and justice. I did it. I am living in another man's car with nothing, completely destroyed. I have the American guts, drive, and common sense to fight the American way with freedom and justice for all as stated in the constitution of the United States of America and her Bill of Rights this case reinsured such exist.

I want this United States Supreme Court to award me: $100,000,000.00 of which I will use half to help advance justice and the American system. I will allow United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., a chance to earn many times this as partners with my inventions and some ideas with them

footing the whole cost and development, sharing all proceeds 50-50. I do not wish to create problems for them or our nation as they did through their communist tactics destroying justice keeping me in court long enough to earn enough to pay me off, replace their loss, and make a profit with what they owe me: like the attorney and insurance man saying to the attorney: Boy, you really lost that one. He replied: she'll never see a penny of it. We had the appeal ready before the jury brought in their verdict.

The clique on Capitol Hill is not properly clicking now. They have us the masses working for them instead of them working for the masses as designed. Look at me, where I'm at, and America today. We are what we were: great, let us do it again the American way with freedom and justice for all.

Please read and examine each and all facts. In my time allowed by law, I did the best I could with all the unjust acts against me the American way. So far, the communist acts presented have won hands down over the American judicial system winning this case with these tactics: focusing attentions on nonsignificant facts hiding the real facts of outright admitted guilty, lying, twisting facts, and screaming someone (anyone else) else did it. My own attorneys took my evidence which is not needed because the acts of 3 counts of guilt are admitted to. Because of the above, the trial case judge was: judge-jury-and-executioner violating case law many times to the point he said to the jury: Gubby's caused the accident not the defense using these above communist tactics causing such because of Judge Knuck's confused mind trying to force from the jury a verdict he wrongly believed true and got because of his threats of law and having the people on the jury I was suing for violating our laws causing death and horrid harms not correctable as required here.

Let us have again, the small destroyed American man who pledged his life to his country to defend it win again for America, me. The defense is guilty proudly admitting it daring a verdict against it: let us give him one for justice and the American way. Render me a verdict or ruling in my behalf in full as I claim. The defense will earn a return many times this settlement plus what they have already earned using my money they owe me by law if I win this case which I should by law in America.

Every right and freedom granted me by the constitution of the United States and the Bill of Rights have been taken from me the small man through setting up rules and regulations only those doing so and those trained could follow: and when this was not possible, this was done by setting a price tag on these freedoms and rights making the law strictly for them and their kind.

I'm trying to stand up for what America was, is, and will be with others like me, the hurt to make us stronger-united-fighting for these goals: not destroying them as here in this case dragging our nation down to where others can take over as they wish.

Why are we so powerful and great anyway: because of our freedoms and rights here in this case destroyed through communist tactics.

I'm forced into crime, but corrected all my wrongs and came out clean. All my rights to work were taken. I went thirty of thirty-three months with no income to care for a wife and two kids. When I did earn income, the law prevented me from collecting it. I'm not allowed to face my accusers. The City of Miami stole three of my cars with my life savings in them legally. Bill collectors have been un-

human with no reason. Credit clearers have stolen over $300.00 claiming to clear my credit and did nothing. Theft must be a good business. Two of my seagoing boats were taken, tools, small items, other cars, (not above), and more.

Three of my kids have been killed, a fourth paralyzed, and a fifth lost a finger and another was chewed up for United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al's neglectful work to kill the public at random admitted to under oath in court. Here again the law has removed every legal right of the average man unless we line their pocket and they are charitable for their protection.

This law of the U.S.A. has made it legal to (murder) manslaughter any public member at random through neglect intended where in the case of: Connie Francis vs. Howard Johnson Motor Lodge Inc., it is illegal not to properly care for the public's safety awarding her two and one half million dollars for lack of public safety through too little lighting to see a face clearly in the open and a lack of a night chain on her rented room allowing a stranger she could not identify to force his way in, rape her, and leave unseen without fear of identifying himself by others because of this.

Here is an example of a double standard of justice. In my case, the big business isn't guilty for acts they under oath admit, as above with money they are guilty for not acting with no bad intent.

This is my real case of proof with supporting help of my case I quoted while under appeal but filed justifying support of out of court settlement as above in the amount of $1,775,000.00 the Third District Court of Appeals of Florida refused and ignored ruling against me with no justification.

I'm sure this is shunned upon by the Florida Supreme Court and against or contrary to their rules and regulations and by some means a violation here or to some law somewhere at least when our constitution of the U.S.A. was put into law.

I request this court reexamine these lower court actions and rulings and render their own opinion and or rulings to put justice back into this court system here if only in my case alone it would be greatly appreciated by me and mine (what's left) in the book I've written "Man's Relations and His Forms of Government" which I have received a letter from royalty the King himself on my efforts now my only reward.

Every right and freedom granted by the constitution and the Bill of Rights of the U.S.A. and every freedom has been removed from those like me by our law makers, the judicial system, and cases heard through precedence influenced by those with money and big business all for their benefit. There are many good people trying hard but the few and their control have set up a double standard of justice with rules and regulations their golden key to shaft, destroy, and hurt those like me. They use justice as they wish it, giving these few almost dictatorial ruling power and control over the masses draining them of all chance of successful advancement and all means of personal independence or ability to care for themselves. The aged are hurt, disabled, and their lives are taken one way or another.

These many groups representing the few simply line their pockets placing those like me in hell saying tighten your belt, you are living too well. Look at the rest of the world, as they become so fat they can't spend their wealth yet want more.

They continue using concepts of good to put the people like me in a worse hell to fill their own pockets fuller: auto insurance destroys all instead of just the few hurt who have rules not to end up with anything anyhow but just add more for the few on both ends destroying those who don't legally.

These few take all the earning of every man in: the income tax to run the nation, sales tax and other taxes to run the state, property tax to run the counties and cities along with other taxes, social security tax to care for the aged so misused and taken it staggers or staggering budget to the point these few add their waste and takings to the national expense to cover their wrong doings and blame another.

This takes what auto insurance leaves. Here other insurances pick up like medical or life. Here the loan business offers nice money easy to return till then when you have to pay 3 times and more what received to pay these taxes and such not counting living where homes cost more than the government can print money for. Food cost, yes, as the few are paid not to work. Have you heard of electricity or a car for transportation. Surely these don't cost anything as they use oil cost to add more for the few. The phone is the only pleasure of the many the few are cutting up with special charges the few use to charge 10 times as much as old cost ha! ha!

To correct my above statement that the people work for the government is just a bit off. Actually the masses work for the few who are the government using the government to squeeze every earning of the masses from them and all they can borrow or then get illegally to fill the pockets of the few who are our law so the government is their strong arm to collect or prosecute the masses who don't pay! Ha! Ha! Ha!

The way these few took all the freedoms and rights of the masses for themselves was by setting up rules and regulations only they could follow allowing only them these rights and freedoms. When they could not take these rights and freedoms only for themselves by putting a cost on them so high only they and the rich could afford them, making the law strictly for the wealthy. Their code of ethics and the statute of limitations are two of their favorite and powerful weapons. Where they are at fault this time is short and sweet.

I do not even have time to find much less understand these rules and regulations and their requirements before my time is up freeing them of all their guilt they admit openly under oath, it is OK to kill the public (the masses) through neglect except if they have money, then you are guilty for not protecting the public (them) with no intent of harm.(Connie Francis vs. Howard Johnson Motor Lodge Co. Inc.)

Both courts to this point twist the law. During this vital time now, they have removed my materials from use by me in claiming they are at the other court. Leaving that court and getting to the other, you see only the same papers you just left with the vital papers you need still missing. This is OK unless you are one of the few. They set the jury and you cannot do anything about it. With nice excuses you no longer have a 12 man jury but only 6 for this is easier to rig and control with a regulation that if you don't so state at that time you can never correct their wrongs, only suffer as they wish and intend.

The present judge's position and power they gave themselves is so strong they make a tyrant or dictator look like an angel with no possible wrong. They appear not to

care about right vs. wrong but only their personal wish or what they can get from their position with no recourse toward them for their accidental or intentional errors or actions. Checks and balances on this public figure don't exist for those above them will protect them to protect themselves. Through them and their regulations, they set the big crooks free and send a first offender caring for his family to prison destroying his life and making him a crook forever just to live. Both the Volkswagon insurance people from Volkswagon South and the Travelers Insurance Co. twist the law as not to pay. Our insurance commissioner says just forget it. They moved out of state as not to pay settlement on any claims, and the other was going down a one way street the wrong way but that's OK they don't have to be responsible. Let a person like me do anything wrong and we go to jail or pay well for our actions to these few.

Look at this case. The United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al., openly admits their guilt with no fear of losing even under oath.

I intend fighting for my justice even if such does not exist till I have used everything at my disposal or that necessary to correct my injustice for my children to follow. I'll do what is necessary to put up the best fight I can. I do not intend leaving my destroyers free to harm others like me without a real fight which it has been so far with me swinging at the wind only because of the above stated wrongs and more.

Again, the point is that our government no longer works for the people, the people work for the government these few set up through nice noble jesters of our few. A good example is president Kennedy's nice noble thought: Let me not ask what my country can do for me, Let me ask

what I can do for my country. OK! I feel I can help my nation by giving it concept and advancement not dreamed of expressing the facts of life it or no other nation seems to comprehend.

If these stupid few will get their head out of the mud, I'll show them how to increase the income and advancement of both the people and our nation so they will have something to steal or take from the masses. Here, maybe, they can be heroes and give more away to other nations while lining their own pockets. They now have the masses well drained and are inching closer to losing much of what they now have.

This point is that all man's freedoms and rights have been taken by these few through the above rules and regulations only they can follow but with someone like me coming along, and fighting to exist following these rules and regulations which is impossible; then we taken them back. Why do you think the United States of America was created? That man could live as he wished free choosing his own course in life till those above do it again: Forcing their desire on the masses.

What is it worth to those like me to be free and have our rights? War, death, or just complete destruction of all to be sure you killed your enemy or those that caused your pain.

TRUE: Through rules and regulations, the wealthy and few can keep you in court so long, they can earn enough money with your fair settlement before it has to be paid, they can replace it, make a profit, and pay you off and still have some left.

Walking down the steps of a Miami court house, the insurance adjuster turned kidding the attorney for a big business, "boy, you really lost that one!" the attorney turned smiling a bit and said, "she will not see a penny of that. We had the appeal ready before the jury brought in the verdict."

Do you realize how long it took me to make this document? The rules and regulations do not allow me time to follow these rules and regulations which are inteneded to end those like me now here.

Just to be safe, Other rules and regulations are set up so these rules and regulations cannot be corrected as I'm trying. With all the other rules and regulations, the few have real solid control. I'm a poor man unable to pay for my rights.

After paying all the above mentioned cost and more, living in hell so horrid that if I would trade places with satan himself the devil, it would be him in hell, not me as now.

I have accomplished all the above mentioned and more, yet after following all these rules and regulations and more, paying many thousands of dollars I do not have, for my rights and freedoms which then were removed by other rules and regulations. All this put me under horrible pressure with a nice long prison sentence if I were to slip. My own attorneys who took my evedence even sued me and won that I must pay their expenses with interest.

Because of the above my creditors put me through another hell.

Ask any average person not of legal mind to walk into a law library listing all these rules and regulations and to follow them handling a case like mine as have I.

Even with help their mind will be boggled and useless to perform such. Just finding all these rules and regulations is impossible. If they do find them, they will not understand them. That is more impossible. For such people to submit such as have I, is unheard of. If such does happen, those controlling or handling such or judging it will never allow a verdict in behalf of those like me because we don't line the pockets of those in the system. These, usually get about ½ or more of the total settlement.

Here, you no longer talk about facts or justice. You talk about other cases that did what you are not allowed to do as did the judge in not allowing me the rights other judges allow others like me. You have 30 days to become expert in all these cases, file your writ of certiori, and prove other decisions of cases like yours different in ruling than yours.Other attorneys told me to go away, it wasn't worth their time or effort. I'm alone to do for myself. The states attorney's office said I earn too much for him to help me.

One case not long ago paid off a prisoner a few thousand dollars to end his free attorney and court procedings.

In my own opinion of our Judicial system involving my rights and freedoms my forefathers created this nation for is that: It is so crooked through these few though the good are trying, it makes our politicans look like saints.

We have a double standard of justice. One for the few and one for the masses with different punishment for each I can prove. These laws with double standards are like the at-

torney's code of ethics. The rules and regulations are to control the many by the few that they might not reach their level and for said punishment to fall only upon the many. Example: a big attorney can use the law twisting it to his benefit (like those in government). If they twist one too hard and get burned, they carry malpractice insurance to cover any major cost and being judged by these few, they have the ability to twist more laws through their knowledge and technicalities getting off free but if not, they simply get a slap on the hand and a warning: be more careful next time just as the law of the nation for these other groups of the few except they have such good control, they don't have or need the malpractice insurance.

When I went to the Florida Bar about my attorney, they called him. He said send Mr. Howie over, I'll give him anything he wants. Arriving there, he refused claiming legal rights he created.

My attorneys took my evidence to cut chances of my winning the case. Upon losing, he sued me to collect their expenses which they were given a ruling in their behalf by a judge who ordered the bailiff to get me out of his courtroom at the hearing prior to court.

At this trial, I subpoenaed a legal document this attorney forced me to sign without giving me a copy refusing settlement offered by the United States Rubber Co. Inc. et al, prior to that trial. He refused to bring this document upon demand for such at this trial, much argument on both sides begun where the judge had to wait for a long time for my attorney's attorney to finally object so that would not be an admission of guilt. Of course, some testimony was changed in the transcript in their behalf. The judge was yelling and furious.

All this is not even a start. I wrote both the attorney and a copy to this judge as agreed at this trial to no avail. Return of my material was agreed to but was refused. A list of many attorney's errors and improper rulings and court actions against me were made, some of which are stated herein. My attorney subpoenaed me to court several times and when I arrived there, he didn't.

Flat outright refusal by judges and attorneys for me to record my case was well stated loud and clear.

All judges' position in court is dictatorial, designed to be good so they can see to a true course of justice but in many cases, the reverse occurs.

Even a well known judge from California publicly states it is a shame you can so often buy a judge for $50.00 as an expression.

The news media, their equipment and such are eliminated from the courtrooms to protect those who eliminated them that their accidental or intended errors would not be known giving them more absolute power.

Honorable and humane rights and ideas are used here to do this. This destroys far more than the good it does. This back stab is a key weapon of these claimed few.

Example: these actions are too private to be public. Then through this privacy, they hide all their corruptness giving the few control of the masses.

What is worse? What is more important?: justice lost through embarrassment or complete removal of almost all true justice. The few have such good control of the news

media, I've had great difficulty making these wrongs public to end at least some of them.

It can be argued both ways, but through exposure, good will triumph and bad will not be able to exist and many crooks either. Have you noticed like in Las Vegas crime is at a minimum so speaking? The crooks will not allow it for the exposure will maybe destroy their financial legal empire. Think about it.

This State Supreme Court has a regulation to keep it clear of being involved in my case which will force me into the United States Supreme Court loaded with rules and technicalities to put an end to me maybe and for what it's worth, what they cannot put an end to me here, I expect them to put a cost on my rights and freedoms so high, I cannot afford my freedoms and rights, but I'll try hard for justice if it exists for me.

1 July, 1976

Mr. Rudolph Browd,

My sincere thanks for your assistance as stated by your attorney, Mr. Arnold. When and where may I pick up the balance or remaining materials, those I gave you, those you created, and the case file with all papers of such you told Judge O'Connell in our case you would return at my request?

I must fill out and file my taxes. I expect to get a reasonable return on what I have to send in now. I intend making a deposit on what I owe you then or earlier if a business deal goes through I'm working on. As you know, I have almost no money at all. My wife is getting a divorce

this summer she tells me. I understand $600.00 would be attorneys' cost to defend me that will cause me to try to defend myself for lack of funds. I'm so far in debt I probably can't borrow any more. I'll try though.

Upon receipt of all these above materials, I'll borrow or by some means get my hands on some moneys that would be available and at least make some token payments even under my conditions that would get the balance below the six figure digit it now stands at and maybe more.

Just send me notification as to when and where I may pick up the above items and a check will be mailed to you within the following two weeks at latest and others will follow as soon as possible. You'll be paid in full as the court ordered with 6% interest per year on the balance if one exists.

Sincerely,

/s/ JIMMIE H. HOWIE

Jimmie H. Howie

IN THE COUNTY COURT IN AND
FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
CIVIL DIVISION
No. 76-546 SP 05

RUDOLPH BROWD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JAMES HOWIE,

Defendant.

Transcript of proceedings had and testimony taken before the Honorable Judge O'Connell, County Court Judge, at the Dade County Courthouse on March 24, 1976.

APPEARANCES:

EDWIN ARNOLD, ESQ.
25 West Flagler Street,
Miami, Florida,
for the Plaintiff.

JAMES HOWIE, in pro per,
10812 Southwest 4th Street,
Miami, Florida

What my wife, daughter, son, and my dead child went through, isn't worth a million dollars each. This would be $4,000,000,. What I was through isn't worth $3,000,000. without losses or cost. This is all after taxes and other great expense, NET, NET, NET.

Loss of life, child and near loss, me $5,000,000.00
(I was carrying $175,000.00 Life Ins.)

7% Permenent disability .....$ 500,000.00

Lost wages $2,000,000.00
($640,000 for sure at $350 wk.) (as man. Sears div. 64 (est. 20yr.)

Lost retirement .....$ 900,000.00
(a janitor got 225,000. one man got well over $900,000. No. 33 VIP 31 May, 1974

Physical damage and pain $1,900,000.00
(almost fifty things wrong with my body)

Mental damage and Pain $1,000,000.00

Mental endurance almost exceeded .....$ 500,000.00

Wife's Physical and mental damage .....$ 500,000.00

Children's Physical and mental damage
who are alive $1,000,000.00

Medical cost past .....$ 5,000.00
(thousands already) (my estimate)

Medical cost future $1,000,000.00

Cost of change of career and Education
start over after 30 years .....$ 100,000.00
(my time) (transportation and expenses) ($300. wk. for 5 yrs. is $75,000.)

My charge for the inhuman Hell I've already been through $1,000,000.00

My charge for being made unable to get
or hold a job $1,000,000.00
(sick people not hired) (not a desirable employee now)

Separation of family and damage there ...$1,000,000.00
(school guidance, etc.)

Loss of New home and property owned ...$1,000,000.00
(personal and real)

Partial or complete destruction of my
marriage .....$ 500,000.00

Destruction of wife's confidence in me ....$ 100,000.00

Cost for indebtedness and bad check writing, & credit, & action, & pressure in and out of court. .....$3,000,000.

Degrading of and defamation of character .$ 700,000.00

Degrading of image .....$ 100,000.00

Honesty .....$ 300,000.00
(bad checks picked up over $4,000.00)

Competence in me by myself .....$ 100,000.00

Credit having to pay over 100% interest rate . %XYears
(I want = rate of return)

Moral .....$ 50,000.00

Love of wife and hers for me $1,000,000.00
(that's everything) gone

My cost to borrow money 100% a year and inflation value I lost above

My attorneys cost and expenses 70% of what I get tax free and pay all expenses .....$10,000,000.

My pain and suffering from over work near killing myself to live $1,000,000.00

Cause of death of my third child $6,000,000.00

My charge for letting US Rubber use my money and my tax cost to pay .....70%/yr.

My cost for new equipment to work in
new life .....$ 18,300.00

My cost of trouble for education .....$ 15,000.00

Indebtedness caused .....$ 25,000.00

Memory problem weirdly forgetful .....$ 100,000.

Destruction of my control making decisions and controlling matters .....$ 300,000.

Income loss March 71 to August 72 at $350. a week .....$ 20,200.
(- g ot)

AILMENTS SINCE THE CAR ACCIDENT:

1. growths-warts and moles one ripped off mopping
2. dizziness
3. drainage spinal fluid first thought
4. bleeding
5. choking food not going to stomach just stopping coughing
6. exhaustion
7. all senses dull
8. dropped foot and claimed gout by Dr.
9. sweat and chills separate
10. fever like heat pass: heat like a furnace: turn red
11. mental problems
12. circulation messed up
13. can't sleep and too much
14. burning of eyes and skin
15. pains in chest
16. back pain pinched nerve spinal column below belt VA Hospital
17. glands-cramps in jaw while swallowing surgery cleared VA Hospital
18. nasal drainage thought spinal fluid clear water looking material Jordin Davis Dr.
19. finger and toenails receeding and getting rough & loss of [illegible] show health decline
20. large weight change 40 pounds in about a month about 3 times
21. right arm numb almost a month
22. right knee
23. attacks of sweat and pain for as long as 24 hours

24. dead nearly both physically and mentally
25. fired eight times for the first time not wanted by an employer
26. afraid of wife — am now divorced
27. sexual desire impaired & hell of control
28. problems with job, attorney, doctors, and family no longer a functioning right Human
29. education and retirement loss Sears & Me

EDUCATION

Mrs. Corkhill gave me commission dates posted; 18 June 1975

Courses Completion

Salesman Requirements
June 21, 1971

Principals and Practice No. 1
Oct. 1, 1971

Arthur Wise's Salesman Prep. Course
Nov. 8, 1971

Lindsey Hopkins Prep. Course
March 15, 1972

State Exam for Salesman
March 20, 1972

I became a Salesman
April 21, 1972

I worked for Keyes Co.
April 21, '72 to Aug. 17,

I worked for Carolyn Rosen Realty
April 9, '73 to June 13, '74

I worked for Strossman Realty
Dec. 20, '73 to April 26, '74

I worked for Arthur Wise
June 13, '74 to Sept. 30 '74

Appl. Received for Principals & Prac, No. 2
March 21, '74

Tom Dixion Principals and Practice No. 2
Dec. 8, '72

Lewis Adairs Principals and Pract. No. 2
Dec. 8, '72

Arthur Wise's Broker Prep. Course
May 19, '74

State Brokers Exam
May 20, '74

I became a Broker Salesman under Arthur Wise
June 13, '74

I became a Broker
Oct. 1, '74

I've been involved in property upkeep
all my life

I was with Sears, outside salesman, home improvement expert
Jan. '69 to March '71

I've done normal minor repair and some electrical work
all my life

I completed 64630 U.S.A.F. Supply
May 21, '63

I completed 64650 U.S.A.F. Supply
1965

I completed R.A.M.A.C. Course (IBM)
Feb. 24, '66

I completed U.S.A.F. Clerical Clerk Cor.
Oct. 7, '66

I completed Insurance Adjusters School & have a degree
Aug. 13, '69

I was a licensed life & casualty insurance salesman (BANKERS)
Feb., 72

I completed U.S. Coast Guard Seaman Documents Requirement (LIFE)
Oct. 10, '74

I am now a Senior in College BA degree (Economics)
Dec, '75

I have a knock of life degree second to none with knowledge & ability to help our nation and the world to

make life better for all with world peace now & universal peace in the future with the how's, why, and basic framework if its is ever to exist, my first book.

STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF DADE)
This Copy is true Copy of the Original on file in this Office.
WITNESS my hand and Official Seal, This 16 day of July A.D., 1976

RICHARD P. BRINKER
Clerk Circuit Court
By M. HERRERA D.C.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION

CASE NO. 76-15645 (Div. 10 - Featherstone)

FINAL JUDGMENT DISSOLVING MARRIAGE

IN RE: The Marriage of
BETTY BARBARA HOWIE,
Petitioner,

and

JIMMIE H. HOWIE,
Respondent.

THIS CAUSE coming on to be heard before me upon Final Hearing on the Wife's Petition for Dissolution of Marriage and the Husband's Answer thereto, and the Court having heard the testimony of the parties and their witnesses, and the Court having accepted into evidence the Property Settlement Agreement between the parties, and being fully advised in the premises, it is thereupon,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

1. That this Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter hereto.

2. That this Court does hereby determine and find that the marriage between the parties is irretrievably broken.

3. The marriage existing between the parties, BETTY BARBARA HOWIE and JIMMIE H. HOWIE, is dissolved because it is irretrievably broken.

4. That the Property Settlement Agreement entered into by and between the parties hereto on June 24, 1976, a copy of which is on file herein, be and the same is hereby in all respects approved, ratified and confirmed, and the terms and conditions of said Agreement be and the same are hereby incorporated by reference herein and made a part hereof, and each party is hereby ordered to comply with its terms and conditions.

DONE AND ORDERED at Miami, Dade County, Florida, this 16 day of July, 1976.

HAROLD G. FEATHERSTONE
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

Copies furnished Counsel of Record.

I come to this court protesting its errors and actions against me once again. The Court has admitted its errors to me and its actions are removing almost all and any justice possible for me James Houston Howie the appellant.

Proper documents are submitted for a second time to reinstate the justice this court has removed from this case (75-1690) and granting of these documents will allow me the appellant time to correct the court errors this court told me it had made. This court has ignored legal paperwork, set court dates prior to extension of time granted, not ruled on legal matters, doesn't refer to deadline in answer to court action, and more.

I have to earn or get big money to pay off people involved in the courts system to obtain documents to correct court errors which I have already set up. I need time to complete this. 9 December, 1976 should be enough time to correct this. It is filed with this court document: Request for court ruling and called a: (Request For) Motion for extension of time.

I speak here of those in the system not to its credit. The using of legal means to rubberstamp this case without being heard after granting oral argument and the setting of a time limit to remove any chance of proof or statements of the court's errors should be illegal seeing facts stated that the facts in record are not as correct as they should be which this ruling is based on that the judges read and understood the facts in the case. This court has tried for a second time to rubberstamp this case without justice by their own action. It's hard enough to fight a large law firm, as an individual much less the court to boot. I have facts to prove, please let me prove them!

This court letter written 9 August, 1976 was mailed to an address I had stated to the court by a change of address filed 14 July, 1976 at 4:51 PM I no longer lived there stating the correct mailing post office address I had due to living on the streets because of other court action in loosing my fami-

ly. Maybe this was intentional to rubberstamp this case lending a deaf ear, blind eyes, and such to me the individual of our nation it is supposed to protect to defend itself or allow injustice to the benefit of the bad there.

What have our laws come to on our two hundredth birthday as a nation of freedom and justice to the world and ourselves? I only request the right to present the facts as they are for this court to hand down a just verdict and settlement. Will I be heard in full or not?

Sr. Jimmie Houston Howie
Registered Real Estate Broker
10812 S.W. 4th Street
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33144

A personal letter from King Juan Carlos of Spain on my efforts for mankind sent through his secretary.

This is an outline of a book I've written going far deeper than stated here. I've destroyed it except short note forms to prevent it from being taken from me. Later, I will complete and publish: "Man's Relations and His Forms of Government." (From: Love to: how to run a nation)

30 August 1976

People, Rulers, Leaders, or the Human Race,

I'm Jimmie Houston Howie, a man of little. I've lived a good but mainly poorer life (monetarily), but a very rich life in life itself with much basic knowledge obtained mainly on my own, with my own ideas and thoughts applied with a high degree of success on a personal basis. I've done more with a little than many people could do or have done with much.

America's birthday looked back at our spirit, I look forward to utilizing it in the advancement of ourselves, our world, and the universe.

I'm what America is all about. Someone has to stand up for America. I know of no one who has shown the ability and guts, so here I am.

I'll show any a way of life so rich (yet untapped), that all can live better and have more in peace. I'll show each how to achieve dreams never thought possible. I'll only help. Each will do his own work for without willing people, I can do very little. How do I make contact to eliminate much of our hell, giving reason to live, correct our laws, give untold wealth, and turn us from the destructive path which the world and the human race is following?

Why did the British empire never have a chance of controlling the United States? They could have won the Revolutionary War, but until we attained what we achieved, freedom for each and all, the war would have continued until we were all dead. Then who would be ruled? We had tasted this freedom (as those would who follow with the same results).

The mind of each individual of any nation must be set free to challenge and discover, to better man and his world. It's the most powerful weapon of any nation, but now rarely used. When man refuses to look beyond fact, his world will diminish.

Good thoughts placed upon man will more often than not come true because it causes man to think on it, creating that desirable action or solution to achieve such (perhaps just for himself) but it will benefit mankind if in no other

way than just the more pleasant attitude to those about him improving their day with his presence.

People can build a new nation (not necessarily from rebellion and war) but public opinion cannot be avoided. It is the powerful force in this or any nation. It will cause the advancement or end of that nation, and the press must never be removed from public doing or that which affects the public, because the press is the defender of man and supports public opinion. Why do you think the press was kicked out of the courtroom? To protect those who kicked the press out, so their errors or intentional actions would not be known.

Government or rule is supposed to represent the organization of the people for their advancement and growth, for betterment of all through individual efforts.

The ideas of each for governmental rule of self and all must be based on justice, mercy, and a level of living acceptable to each for self-independence and advancement, with time for mental and physical escape dividing home, work and government in that order. A dramatic example of what I'm speaking of was exemplified in an episode of The Untouchables on TV Sunday, 28 March 1976, when a depicted character named Jack Parker stated this point: "I gave it all I had, got my degree worth $18.00 per week income in a six-day workweek job, and the job has no future." Jack Parker felt a life as a crook was his best future, one which he chose, and which killed him later within the T.V. program. An individual's value of life with good income, and my above statements can destroy a nation as surely as war! My following statements can make almost any nation top any past achievement and growth, strengthening it beyond self-destruction — and more. The struggle for life, liberty, and

the pursuit of happiness can be won and retained with caution, and then pity those who try to remove it.

The secret of the universe is helping each individual achieve his own highest goal upright, for on our knees we will all surely become extinct. Again, government or rule is granted by the people who give it all its power by their own creation or submission. For their organization (government) to inspire them and help them reach their highest goals as a unit, but also retaining the rights of the individual as best stated in the two documents that inspired independency for the United States of America, rights since abused for the advantage of the rich. These documents best state man's desires through argumentatively planned writings for all men to live by. Each man's group may choose their own outline, but that which is stated within the outline had best be present or that goup will cease to exist in the future through self-destruction alone. The form of government or rule I speak of here has yet to exist, except on a small scale, and all nations known today can use it.

World peace starts in any nation's own backyard and spreads throughout the world because the life will be so good in this nation, people of other nations will adapt their own life to the good they see, regardless of the type of government or rule they are under (which they will destroy to obtain such life if necessary) or if they be put under special controls, they will later destroy those controls accomplishing the same results. There will be no escape for this type of government or rule if man lives; it is in his nature.

Man's genius has, as yet, been used. He must have a mate and the necessities of life, with only the boundaries of nature his limitation as a whole and his geniusness and

desires being his limitation for himself, results being so one man can step forward and do more than all others within his works sometimes resulting in greatness.

Man's first instinct is destructive, but with thought, his second is life. A portion of the following may seem dim, but it is not. Think of the thousands from many nations leaving their nation to not only come to America, but join it in defense of all others and help it, and themselves, to grow stronger. We do have much of what I speak of with much more to obtain.

The Daily Mail, a London news publication, stated "what the world needs now is more Americans. America is the best hope of mankind." Approximately 1975, a Canadian stated it quite well as many nations were criticizing the United States for its actions, "The ideas are an honor and provide much needed support. We give our many thanks and continued support. Thank you all." All these things could come from any nation. Our nation only allows us to think and speak, or write publicly. Man's ideas are universal, if only we can make them public to be of real value. Think of the awesome numbers of ideas for man's advancement now dead, perhaps forever, because of man's thought, word, greed, or action toward those who bravely came forth.

All nations should work toward being free independent states, completely independent, but continuing to work with and among the other states/nations for the benefit of all. Any degree less than this is not that state's highest, most efficient use; nor is any such state to be put down for this by another state — only helped. A state's most economically desirable situation may be that of servant, or part of another state, or under another state's aid and support;

always remembering and striving to be as close to this perfect state as possible.

June 7, 1776, saw this nature of man come forth and declare: "The United Colonies are, and of right ought to be, free and independent states." This was espoused by one of America's founders, Richard Henry Lee. Another nature of man was stated by another founder, stated only after much thought: "We hold these truths to be sacred and undeniable." This was Thomas Jefferson's feeling on much of what I have written during the past seven years where his thoughts coincided with mine, his statement made involving the movement toward a great nation, a nation completely self-sufficient — but jointly working among and with other nations. This great man had ideas of free education, knowing its immense value through his own use and application. He was a great individual by any man's standard. He possessed great ability in many areas, some which still stand today in 1976. Another of his great statements is: "We hold these truths to be self-evident: that all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their creator with inherent and inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness; that to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed." Think of the nature of man, and then the foregoing. You should be able to see how great all this is for all men, and I shall continually speak these ideas.

Man will brave a storm in a paper boat for his rights and liberties, as proven by America's establishment and its people — which I'll comment further on toward the end. "Give me liberty or give me death" stated another of our nation's founders, Patrick Henry.Wasted life is foolish, but no nation will exist without furnishing these rights and

liberties as best they can. The destructive power is in many forms both open and hidden. The choice is to yield or fight. What is it worth to those who must choose?

Nothing will be accomplished unless you make a start. America was "started" in 1607 at Jamestown, Virginia, and announced its independence on paper in 1776 with a small population of almost 3,000,000 people, of which 500,000 were blacks in slavery. My family was one of the last to lose or give up this slavery situation, and because we were almost "like family", many of our family's slaves refused to leave creating a situation where both sides continued the arrangement as a means of survival, friendship, and a "way of life" difficult to depart from. America's people represented thirteen geographical groups. Because of America's living conditions at this time, 1776, colonial representatives sat down and def ned man's rights and liberties better with internal argument than in all previous history of man's existence -- even to now, 1976. I will state all these rights were not conferred to all groups of Americans at this time, 1976 as in 1776 as our customs were to change with social climate. Remember, our nation's founders stated all men are equal.

America represents the many oppressed retaliating to take what they needed to live — as their mind conceived these needs. Their freedom was at the expense of any who could help them attain it, but unfortunately some of those who helped independence's cause were later abused in return. Our nation's leaders, in due time, did accept any and all who joined and eventually helped them attain this equality and freedom previously promised.

Oppress any group, and to have the oppression removed that group will destroy you, kill you, or die trying.

The "war between the states" is a good example of that condition — the blacks were in need of food and housing, and the southern farmers had vast lands needing labor to develop advancement/products. These farmers took the black's freedoms to solve their farming needs, as America has done in the past with others, all in an effort of achieving their own economic freedom and advancement as a people. Many blacks voluntarily fought for the south, as best they could, during the Civil War as they felt the black population would reap greater benefit in the social atmosphere of the south more so than that of the north.

The founders of America achieved their freedom in the Revolutionary War, as the blacks did following the war between the states. This was 1776 and 1860. Following these two examples numerous peoples on this world have attained "freedoms" heretofore unknown to their governments or philosophies. America's blacks received the right to vote in 1870, women got the vote in 1920, Indians got the vote only as recently as 1948, America's poor got the vote in 1966 through removal of the poll tax, the illiterate got the vote in 1970 by removal of the literacy test. There are still additional rights I personally am still fighting to get for man, or have re-instated. Man's rule, those who restrict man's rights, rules only temporarily. Restrictive rules cannot last if rights are prohibited and man will not let this type of rule exist long without fighting for the return of his "thought" rights.

Man will not put aside his assets easily, especially if he can give himself some justification in his own mind (like the blacks are better off as slaves than alone as they would starve, and if blacks are free how could we accomplish all the work to be done). Observers will note greed will also stand fast as in the Indian wars, our Civil War, and so on.

The New York Times, stated, "The American dream is less attainable to many people today compared to America's past." Give me a column to state my desires and thoughts and I'll make "America's dream" commonplace for all Americans. It is a key to all my work.

The U.S. News and World Report, stated, "Our debt is leading us to bankruptcy." I have ideas and comments there on a solution for better financial security. The yearly interest on America's debt now is greater than our total debt accumulated in our history up to World War II.

Senator Harry F. Byrd, Jr., during a news interview with *Washington Post* Newsman Dale Ashley, concurred with the report of national financial disaster occurring. Its use created a depressionary period. Poorly planned, scandalously executed government spending can and did lower America's living standard. My knowledge and understanding of past history makes me shudder when I think of phoney international policies our nation's citizens must support, within America New York's politics were bad, the Pennsylvania Railroad scene was a disaster well planned, and all these points leading to greater unemployment, hunger and higher taxes.

What about taxes? Taxes are one of my "pets". It is new within my nation, but can certainly destroy it. What is the reason for it? What is its use? What is its outcome or final end? I can cut the tax rate and bring in more revenue to accommodate all useful programs. This lower rate of tax for each will please everyone.

The New York Post estimates "about 20,000,000" Americans are below the "poverty" line. The U.S. Office of Education stated "23,000,000 Americans cannot cope with

reading skills and another 39,000,000 are barely 'getting' by." America's press reports that assault, mugging, vandalism, and gang warfare are on the move. I obtained this substantiated information from *The New York Times*. One American city reported 474 assaults on school teachers and staff during one 5-month period. America, 11,000,000 crimes are reported each year. Violence is as common as love in American households as we suffer an annual estimate of 1,000,000 cases of child abuse . . . reported.

The New York Times stated, "We seem to be floundering and uncertain." I say: help me put our nation into a straightened course of improvement and make us strong as we truly are. We are a nation better off materially and emotionally than most all others, proven time and time again, and strengthened by those who give America their allegiance when they become citizens. Senator Frank Church, as printed in the *Chicago Tribune* stated, "Our free government is in danger if we don't follow the law." America, I have a wide-open speech for that comment.

Stern, West Germany's largest circulation magazine, printed "The American era of dominance has passed." It is not an "American" era, it is an era of mankind trying to reach his utopia of unity, both as individuals and as groups — points all nations had best heed for their own existence to remain intact. Again, America has yet started into her greatness which she will eventually become/possess, my interpretation and my belief, and shared by a printing made in London within *The Economist*. America passes into new hands every generation, with the new generation adding its own freedoms developed continuously, even from its own abuses. The advancement of man is first through the individual, and then through his nation — not the reverse. (The chicken or the egg, etc.; without one the other will not exist.)

Parade Magazine previously stated "One fourth of the world is hungry, one tenth of the world at the brink of death." I say: "What most people want is true peace, permanent security, prosperity, health, and happiness. Let me show them how." I have written for the past 7 years on how this will be attained, with questions and answers as to the solutions of all man's present and future problems, and how to advance himself to his goal. I have kept this present message in short "note" form so as to avoid it being taken from me. This is a form of greed I speak of as I feel I have earned the status in bettering myself and another would seek to steal it.

Arnold Toynbee defined nationalism as "a state of mind of loyalty to a fraction of the human race regardless of what it entails for the majority." The fact is: all groups of people do this by yielding, or else by choice.

General U Thant stated to the London press that "problems of the United Nations are 'false attitudes', some adopted almost unconsciously — my Country, right or wrong!" The fact is: the greed of these, if allowed, will destroy the purpose of union — and they themselves will halt almost any advancements possible (to their own destruction).

By knowing all the above, and understanding my earlier works, at least 7/20 of the world's population should join and help me achieve my highest goals, as stated, if for no other reason than their own existence and betterment. In example of the foregoing comments, as a part of their religion there are two groups of people who take turns killing one another one at a time.

In our enviable history, another nation (maybe the

most advanced among man) was alienated by others for their wealth (a lesson for us all to learn and remember). The British could not win their goals through this method, only delay the inevitable. Our history is not shameful. It just took time for justice to take effect for each and all. We can and will change the wrongs of government, or we will destroy ourselves through our efforts. Our government has grown a little too big for all the people in many aspects. This policy requires people to work for the government to benefit those who are within the government, rather than the government work for the people — their advancement, their protection. We will see, very shortly, the necessities of life soar again with incomes increasing only a little. The nation's people will be forced to adjust to these wrongs or else correct these errors. The people's biggest problem is they have to be pushed too far before they react. Medical insurance, auto insurance, the judicial court systems, government, business, and other massive conglomerates similar to these will get their just rewards.

June 7, 1776, independence approved; July 2, 1776, the colonies declared themselves free; July 4, 1776, Congress justified its action on paper; July 8, 1776, The Declaration of Independence was read to the public, and more memorable actions were soon to follow. This July 8, 1776, document alone stated, "No man could be forced to compel or support any religious worship, place of ministry, or . . . be restrained, molested, or burthened on account of his convictions."

I need help.

How do I make contact?

Who is interested?

How do I obtain financial assistance?

What can I do for these people?

When can I get my freedom to finish this work?

Who wants to help?

How long will it take?

Who can I trust?

What Bank will handle funds, as I request?

With so much work, who can I depend upon to do my work correctly?

With so much at stake, how much can I retain control of?

What will be the means of contact?

How rapid can this contact be made?

How fast will I receive a reply?

What will it all cost?

I've laid the framework and ground rules for the homogeneity of more than one person to be carried forward to the only means of existence possible for any group, organization, or species. This is to the point of existence for galaxies or worlds beyond, if they are to exist, back to a small notion of our small world today. This is point blank fact as proven in the past and man's mind of the future as known today.

I can prove, if my statements are not adhered to, that species will more than likely become extinct — or destroy — that which violates one or more of my points or framework put forth. This stems from destruction by over-population with no means of satisfying those there at this point, to the retaliatory force of one person attempting to "get even" for that person's own frustration, giving up their life just to take those lives who caused their anger or frustration. How many people will the freed war prisoners from Israel kill in exchange for approximately a hundred hostages being held by Guerrillas in the Mid-East War?

This is a delicate balance of an awesome number of forces, acting as one individual or as units. I claim world peace (if it is to be) must follow these guidelines, or it will not be. Even total force of one to control every other individual will not remain unless it follows my understanding in addition of controlling on a very small way in desperate areas (again a violation which will probably destroy the controller) or their group. I claim this is not impossible, and once established, will have an awesome power that would outright dare any violator, for the consequences would be the violator's own condemnation or punishment. Once it is completed the people will give their lives to defend and retain it — with no question as to their intent.

A Nation's people biggest problem, again stated, is they must be frequently pushed too far before they join to react to the situation (unite) as a last resort.

I can prove the above and following claims and under pressure will say what my body's violators wish to hear, but no real truth or actual correct facts will work for it is through my freedom and personal desires which unlock the doors of wealth — of power — advancement — success —

and more that I work the combinations for which no two for any event will be the same, just as a fingerprint.

This asserted pressure violates and destroys here the same as it does to these individuals or groups I speak of. Both the Capitalistic and Communist ideas will destroy themselves in time as they now exist for violating these points I have to offer to both of them as well as to others. It is great, both their economic gain as well as a means of continued existence. Only a stupid or crazy person (or group) would use force to obtain what I have to offer. Firstly, they would get second-rate material and they would probably destroy what I'm offering.

I'm a man who refuses to lay down and play dead, rest, quit, lose, give up, fail, stop, or accept anything less than success by my standard (which is nothing less than the impossible I accomplish quite often). I'm told to be a machine to benefit my commanders and not do as I wish for my good. My body needs half a day for rest, sleep, exercise, rebuilding, nourishment, education, training, creating, mental relaxation, and recreation for not only my good, but also for those around me. Is that enough time? I may be for my body, but I want time also to do things I want, not of necessity which I worked so hard to attain. The rights to get or do these things are slowly removed by others for them to have and use for themselves, but with caution, because when the scales take too much, I retaliate any way I can to get them back and if I physically can't, I'll give my life as a last resort to get them back, or just to destroy what took them for personal satisfaction of revenge only. That's like a consolation booby prize to say at least . . . I didn't come away empty-handed.

Pride, hate, satisfaction, religion, or personal gain will

destroy both parties whether coming to or going from. Have you heard of any nice wars lately? Who wins what from war? I know. The point is, any person or group who takes the rights of those like me, the common man, on the standard set by ourselves, we'll take them back or destroy that which took them, if necessary, even at the expense of our own lives; because we can't live that way if for no other reason than the hatred that will build up within ourselves far more powerful than the greatest odds possible against us. From this we're almost dead anyway, with nothing to lose by fighting. Even though this is fact, there are numerous, stupid idiots putting those like me in this position. This is a key reason Adolf Hitler lost not only the war, but the support or help of both his Nation's People and his close friend leaders. All empires want those like me on their side, for when on the other side they will lose or be destroyed.

Here, in America, at this very time our courts system is designed mainly for the rich. I'm seeking justice with little hope. If I don't find it, I'll try to destroy what stopped me by changing it with help from those like me. How about the court systems removing the right for my kind to have a trial, now made impossible unless we line their pockets, and don't bring any charges against the wealthy or big business? We are no longer allowed to tell the truth and prove the facts to 12 peers, and if we were they would be rigged to benefit the rich again or big business.

Business attempts to work me as long as possible, as hard as possible, for as little as possible thinking this is a key to their success. This thought is a false leader. This truly hurts them far worse, and I can prove the idea.

Government is taxing me all it can, taking as many rights as possible, not for itself, but for use by big people to

these people's benefit through government appropriations or the government making legislation for them to avoid the effect of written laws upon themselves. Liability insurance for automobiles is a perfect example.

These are keys to the destruction of the United States of America as it is today, for it is now slipping closer and closer to internal rebellion — a point now steadily growing. America has some secret deterrents built in to help, but if those like me don't get their rights back, we'll fight any way we can, as best we can, till we no longer exist. We don't have to use weapons or brute force. We can conquer with organization (which is what our government is supposed to be, and now has lost control to the wealthy few — a principle which will destroy itself as history has long proven).

I wish to become a world advisor. I have the questions, answers, and solutions. Those who hire me, help me, help themselves and their nation. There's no loss possible and much to gain. My advice should be followed, but each has the right to destroy themselves or do as they choose (my way of existence, I hope) for the betterment of us all. I understand man's frustration, anger, pain, bad, and good — to the point of his own destruction, or advancement (which I desire for him) far beyond his present dreams and knowledge.

With these forementioned points of knowledge, I can solve world problems and show man advancement he never dreamed of, much less believed possible. I believe I can end the Mid-East War, a war started when the biblical times existed. (This effort alone should give me the right to try without condemnation before failure, for I know the solutions. This war's ending may save a nuclear world war and lives of many nations.) I can solve England's religious

problems, King Juan Carlos' of Spain's much needed public support and continued economic advancement, some of China's problems, the struggles between people and their leaders, and additionally I can reduce crime within any nation — and much more. Easier problems I can solve are unemployment, hunger rebellion, economic recession/depression, educational, the providing of the necessities of life, and even the specifics such as world fuel requirements. I can explain the rise and fall of all empires — present and past, their errors and solutions. . .(Hitler is a favorite). I can point out the errors of Capitalism and Communism, showing their solutions for correcting the errors (which if not adhered to will destroy their philosophies through themselves). I can show business a new concept of handling their affairs so strongly almost every concept or problem they come across will offer its own solution at a nominal cost. I can show investors a concept of investment so rewarding those who initially invest will never need to invest again. I can prove the present concept of dealing with the public by business is not only "cutting their own throat" but is also crippling their true possible gross income — and their net income after expenses. I can show a solution to almost every known — or unknown — problem about man he can bring me in due time (my determination) to solve it providing he follows my instructions (which he may not like) if I have the complete freedom of desires and correct current information about the problem. I have no question of the above claims or doubt their completion. It's simple arithmetic, as basic as 2 plus 2 equals 4.

I have much basic knowledge and education with lessons in life second to none! I want personal contact with all Mid-East leaders for several days with each as do I also want with the leaders of Great Britain, Spain, China, and Taiwan. I have dedicated additional time and research to

these particular nations as of this writing, therefore I prefer their being first, however, I help those who request assistance.

My own countrymen have presently put me down, labeled me, and/or called me names. I say an intelligent man would call my "bluff", for no loss is possible for him and only his advancement and future existence can be strengthened and assured. Earth is moving away from the sun, our solar system is flying through space at a great speed, the sun is slowly burning out, our outer atmosphere is slowing losing its abilities to protect us from deadly things from the outer space, radiation is taking its toll in our oceans daily, and we have not started using this great resource extensively commercially or for powered electricity as of yet. Leaders fail to use their abilities or see their great power present in all that they rule — or govern; business hasn't any concept of what they are missing; nation's peoples are overthrowing their rulers, or are being overthrown themselves; crime increases at phenomenal rates with the crooks missing out on more than they could steal; kidnappings, murder, and rape are becoming common living standards as a scream for help throughout the world becomes only a nuisance to those not directly affected, and putting those who scream under penalties for noise disturbance one way or another; even man and woman no longer have love, peace of mind, or the abilities to live in harmony with each other, very frequently these inabilities resulting in separation occurring as the lesser of two evils; living things we prefer to call less than ourselves still live by our claimed "law of the jungle" that we feel above; the earth is cooling and we're moving closer to another ice age or maybe completing another orbit; we've yet to define my new laws of physics and its usage; and we've yet to use one of my 27 inventions or many of my ideas.

How about you? Would you prefer to remain in the dark with your people destroying one another, or fighting for existence with your nation and your neighbors, or would you like to enjoy the fruits of my labor for yourselves — the world — and the future universe if it is to be? Why all this internal unrest everywhere? I know why, and what to do. Perhaps through you my nation will accept me, and allow me to advance it and its people. I can make it exceed any and all past achievements with authority cutting taxes and yet bringing in more revenue, educating all its people to any level they wish and could attain, reducing crime extensively (by at least 1/3 of the present increase), give my nation a new defense system more powerful than all its present nuclear power combined, feed America's hungry, care for our poor, eliminate our slums and poverty areas, make all productivity reach new records through using the extra products through demand, end numerous dreaded diseases, end all unemployment where work is desired — if in the range called "normal", and up-grade the living standards of all my fellow Americans and perhaps even the world in the process, remove the hassles and pressures of the people and/or business firms, and more.

I can do this for all nations. The world my not be ready for me, but I'm ready for the world's tasks — my bicentennial project for my nation's 200th birthday (which "Third Century", its claimed sponsor, has already rejected). I will be successful, and so shall you, and after my success I dream of the honors bestowed by receiving the Nobel Prize, one of mankind's most coveted honors. This would be partial payment for my past seven years of work and research here, and the true "hell" I've lived through to learn it, gather it, arrange it, define it, understand it, as well as have the guts to come forth with it to better mankind regardless of mankind's possible torture of me by word, thought, or action.

My sincere thanks to all who have helped and urged me on. May all those who accept my assistance enjoy the fruits of my labors far beyond what their minds may conceive. Thanks to these for belief in my ability to achieve part — or all — my claims. May this be their reward — my success, it will be thanks to their courageous support. I'll return thousands of times over what I receive as my ideas and efforts are not a one-time return for services.

I've written to: The Queen and princess of England and both prime ministers, the King of Spain, the military leaders of Israel, the leader of Egypt and Sweden, major newspapers within the U.S., and contacted the local news medias.

I was notified: Letter received, we'll pick it up off the wire service. Get it started locally as your ideas are opinion and we can't print all that without allowing every other contrary opinion the same effort. I hope you get your message across, we need someone like you.

I'm quite sincere and know what I say is true; knowing I can do this with only the help and support of those it will benefit.

I've been there and am now back.

To you, the human race, my best,

JIMMIE HOUSTON HOWIE

DATED: 23 JUNE, 1976.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT
CASE NO. 75-1690

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
APPELLANT,

VS.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
APPELLEES

REPLY LETTER TO COURT'S LETTER (DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, THIRD DISTRICT)

The appellant respectfully move this honorable court for a reinstatement of justice in this case removed by this court's action in the above stated letter court action by allowing only twenty minutes to prove the errors in this case as well as the facts proving cause in addition to the substantial damage for a just decision and settlement by this court with justice for all. The jury was definitely bias, the evidence was removed from the case intentionally, and most of the facts were not stated properly with other related court action and court response condemning and damaging me and this case to avoid justice and a far lower settlement. Being told I was offered $50,000.00 by my attorney, I said I wasn't even interested in talking about figures this low, and actually being offered $10,000.00 settlement I had to sign to refuse, I refused again but when I subpoenaed this document into court, it was not brought another violation of the law. Any legal mind knowing this case knows this case can not be proven by anyone justly in twenty minutes even if not

interrupted. It will take about a day to state all the charges, errors, and injuries in this case not on present testimony plus two more days to prove damages and about three days to prove all the facts which is required for this court to hand down a just verdict because without this, there is no way this court can reach a just conclusion with justice for all.

The granting of this time for justice will occasion no prejudice to any party herein.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
APPELLANT
10812 SW 4th St., APT. 4
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33174

I DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A TRUE COPY OF THE FOREGOING MOTION WAS MAILED TO: FOWLER, WHITE, BURNETT, HURLEY, BANICK, and KNIGHT, ESQUIRES,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA, THIRD DISTRICT
CASE NO. 75-1690

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
APPELLANT,

VS.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
APPELLEES

CHANGE OF ADDRESS

The appellant respectfully submits a change of address to this honorable court. The appellant is now living on the streets with no place to go because of court action in losing his wife and children. I'm presently broke but will remedy my own problems. My temporary mailing address will be:

J. HOWIE
P. O. BOX 701
MIAMI, FLORIDA
33145

As soon as permanent quarters are located, a new change of address will be submitted at that time. Thank you.

The granting of this reply correction is greatly appreciated and will occasion no prejudice to any party herein.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
APPELLANT
P. O. BOX 701
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33145

I do hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing motion was mailed to: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick, and Knight, Esquires, on Behalf of the appellees (United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al.) who claim to me to be located at 25 West Flagler St., Miami, Florida 33130 representing United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al. against my claim this 13th day of July, 1976.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
APPELLANT

NOTE

This unfinished manuscript is an outline of a book always to be updated with it first publication to be in 1976 as a donation to my nation's bicentennial birthday expressing its initial purpose. It shows man's loss of concept of his advancement. It gives solutions to his problems with why for his benefit that he can put them straight through himself, his government, and other relations. It gives him an outline to work from to advance his own life or that of his government. Through this, maybe the human race might not become extinct due to its lack of preparation and/or advancement. It is to help man live with his mate explaining their relation and sex. It is an outline for them: Outlining a means of existence for them to adopt to themselves for their benefit and advancement. This is not a - do this-do that -

but a general outline they can use in full, part, or not at all, but through its understanding they will benefit or at least have a place to start. It expresses all governments had best head this in the relationship of themselves with the people they rule or govern or these people will destroy them or cause many problems that need not exist. Today, a Russian citizen took a secret of his nation and gave it to another nation. Then he came to my nation maybe to live the rest of his life. Why? The end shows man: All from here to there through his own creation (a monster movie) for profit, showing his present hell and destruction. The monster is the individual anywhere and the hasselers are his government and those he lives among which he brutally kills each and every one of them, in the form that they tortured him. Man has done this since his existence.

My book title is: "Man's Relations and His Forms of Government". King Juan Carlos of Spain has already written me on my work and efforts.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA

THIRD DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM, A.D. 1977

CASE NO. 75-1690

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
Appellant,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
Appellees.

Transcript of proceedings had and testimony taken before the Honorable C.J. Hendry, and J. Nathan, and Charles Carroll (Ret.), Associate Judge on February 9th, 1977, commencing at or about 9:00 A.M.

Appearances:

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
P.O. BOX 701
MIAMI, FLORIDA,
ON BEHALF OF
APPEALLANT (HIMSELF)

FOWLER, WHITE, BURNETT,
HURLEY, BANICK, &
KNIGHT, ESQS.,
501 CITY NATIONAL BANK
BUILDING,
MIAMI, FLORIDA,
BY: (ATTORNEY'S NAME),
ESQ., OF COUNSEL,
ON BEHALF OF APPELLEES.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
POSTOFFICE BOX 701
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33145

(NOTE: COVER: THIS IS DONE AS BEST I KNOW
POSSIBLE AND AS ACCURATE.)

INDEX

Attorneys	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Records
James Houston Howie (Self)	3	8		
(Attorney's name) for appellees	3	8		

The Court: Calling the parties to this case before them to argue the case getting verification of them being such. The appellant, humbling on crutches dragging mountains of material approached the Court apologizing stating the crutches were not from this accident but from a recent one being hit while walking at high speed above max. high speed.

Normal procedures were followed are the parties ready with an affirmative reply.

The court told the appellees attorney there: You are going to let your record stand on submitted material "aren't you."

Appellees attorney: "Yes, your honor."

Mr. Howie: Appellant tries to present case as follows:

Your honors, please excuse all this. I'm James Houston Howie. I'm not an attorney but felt I must present my own case. Please excuse me.

I preceded holding up the Miami Herald stating the date on it. I showed my love letter to my now divorced wife for her to give her parents of my desire of marriage. I stated I had written my first book finishing the prior December and dreamed of getting the Nobel Price for my work: At least I can dream. I said I had already received a letter from the King of Spain, Juan Carlos, about my efforts. I stated I had made contact with leaders and royalty of the world and contacted news media nationwide or abroad.

Pointing to the newspaper above, I stated: I live here: in another man's car, showing an ice covered car. I should

be here, pointing to Chico's death (the movie star killing himself saying for loss of wife or lover leaving him.) I think here pointing to 7 bomb blasts in London, England, but I have better sense. This will not solve the problem. I pointed to the article on ROOTS stating another judge was commenting on stating: I had heavier chains on my feet and hands than did the black man representing the young Negro man of freedom being taken captive and put into slavery. I also had deeper cuts from the whip than did he for my body is of no value as his was.

This nespaper the Herald was dated: Saturday January 29, 1977.

I stated testimony shows my wife said, "We had a fun marriage," and that she did not work until she was forced to due to this U.S. Rubber accident. After this, she divorced me and had an abortion which my attorney didn't state the death of Randy my third child.

My own attorneys took my evidence due to their own problems. This is why I feel I have to present my case.

Pointing at the appellees attorney (representing big business, wealth, and the judicial system) I said these people have taken all my rights (shaking the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights with my other hand over my head toward the judges) (Pointing with the right index finger at the attorney and shaking my clutched full left hand to the judges almost opposite directions)

I stated these rights and freedoms were taken through setting up rules and regulations only they could follow and when these rights and freedoms couldn't be taken this way, they were taken by placing a cost so high on them that only

the rich could afford to pay for them. "Do you know how many thousands of dollars I paid to appeal my case to this court?"

I stated: "This makes justice strictly for the rich."

I said I'm so nervous I'm shaking like a leaf.

United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., is guilty on 3 different counts. They state their guilt openly 5 times I can prove and a sixth time which I can't prove.

Please take my transcript and see the guilt I've marked. The United States Rubber Co., Inc., et al., openly states their guilt. (The court refused.)

I'm not allowed to have a tape recorder in court to prove testimony and the newspapers are responsible for just laws by stating bad ones to the public.

I've written a book on this case and an outline is in this material. (Refused)

Fumbling for a paper proving my claim quoting the case of: Connie Francis vs Howard Johnson's Motor Lodge Inc. shows guilt of big business for not acting toward safety of public where here the guilt is outright admitted under oath by their mechanic comitting the act.

My attorney told me I was offered $50,000.00 to settle out of court. I told him I wasn't even interested in talking about figures this low.

Actually being offered $11,000.00, I had to sign a paper to refuse such.

I didn't find the newspaper of the Connie Francis case but I did find a copy of Time magazine stating Mrs. Connie Francis was awarded by the jury the sum of: $2,500,000.00 for being raped in her room rented from the defendant. The jury awarded the husband $100,000.00 for his sexual impairment due to this act.

(My error quoting this case was that it was in the process of appeal as I stated in my request for a new hearing submitting the proper documents which stated then the case was settled out of court for $1,775,000.00.)

United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al, states under oath they broke my brake system and gave it back in good faith.

Connie Francis won her case because Howard Johnson's Motor Lodge Inc didn't have a night chain on the door and the area there was not lighted well enough to identify people there.

(This didn't allow Mrs. Francis denial of such by inspection nor scare such off because they could be seen.)

(Here public safety levies guilt for not caring well enough for it. In my case they are guilty for removing public safety putting any and all in danger as proven.)

(My rehearing was denied.)

I stated sure she was working on a career but I was too. Connie Francis just had a little sex compared to the hell I am going through not meaning her injury wasn't a true hell too.

Randy, my third child, died; my other children were taken from me in divorce; I lost the woman I loved; and I am going through so much hell that if I could trade places with Satan, it would be him in hell not me. I was forced into crime but came out clean. Today I can cut crime in the United States by at least 1/3. I lost my insurance policy; I lost my real estate; I near lost my mind and life; I have medical problems for life; I've been fired eight times since this accident; I went almost three years without a job and had a wife and 2 children to feed, and more.

I'm good people, I'm what America is all about. My mother was called a thief by her employer and losing her job after 16 years of good honest hard work was not allowed to even collect unemployment. She has legal rights but will not pursue them. (You will never meet a more honorable woman anywhere.) My father is disabled from WWII and could have received 100% military disability by going to a military hospital in California and then home but chose to take 75% and come home to his wife and me which he did but never received a dime. Leaving his job to defend his nation against aggressors, when he returned, his job was not there as the law said it would be (He fought to the Supreme Court of the United States, where I am now.)

I held up pictures of my children and said these are my children. they are good kids. I am proud of them. They won't be any trouble.

My career was I came from S.C. just to apply for a job at Sears Roebuck & Co. Mr. Brenner, their personnel manager said I had done well on my test offering me a job in the fencing department. I said let me check your fencing department out and I'll let you know if I will take the job. Give me the job I applied for in the home improvement

department and I'll triple your business in three years. At the end of about a year and a half Gene Doll, the store manager told (I chased him to Tenn.) the entire store I had already done this, awarding me a fist of cash for the sale of a new product for the store saying I was third in the District. He went on then stating every other department in the store was off last years sales yet here a person (me) who had never sold these items could do this so the business was out there using me as an example. Besides this I had taken a week off to help window sales. It started off almost zero sales and after my week with the new window contractor sales soared. Sears had another problem they still don't know. (Stopping) I had asked for the job for the whole U.S. in Div. 64.

Growing up, I helped the family. I worked hard all my life. I've been fired twice from my present job and threatened a third time.

I stated I have helped lots of people. A friend who helped me, I helped one of his relatives pay off almost $2,000.00 cost to me in that persons bad checks selling my property and giving my earned cash. I gave 2 men from Michigan food, shelter, clothing, car, and money to chase girls. They stole my tape recorder and movie camera and left, but what was worse was they got my little girl's birthday pictures. I helped others. (As I flashed papers of such proof.)

Sears had to send Gene Doll out of state to prevent him from being subpenaed to court to prove the above claims at Sears.

I offered the court a signed document properly executed which proves United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al.,

guilt which was refused. Testimony proves this air left in the system will get into the cylinder causing this brake failure as here this proves.

United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., proudly admits breaking the left front brake system and returning the car in good faith completely repaired. They never repaired the left rear my offered document proves. Witness of right rear proves leakage of brake fluid. (3 of 4 wheels were capable of each alone killing me, my passengers, or any human crossing my path or I crossing theirs unable to stop as happened causing death and permanent injuries.)

Three people were on the jury I was suing and the defense attorney had a hard time getting a ringer on it. There was this ringer, brother of United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., employee, and a employee of the company who fired me Sears. (I didn't even have 12 jurors only 6, and one-half of them prejudiced.)

Mikel Davis' testimony proves their guilt.

Carl Altman's signed statement refused proves their guilt.

J. K. Rossiter, the appellee's manager, states the mechanic was incompetent yet hired him to check the (competency) work of others. This mechanic claims he broke the left front brake system closing it to avoid guilt but testimony proves this is done opening the system. (Only hand tightening and snugging is required to close the system. If this mechanic has to twist steel into as he claims he did, surely that proves his guilt and incompetence.)

A doctor friend suggested a mechanic for special work

who was an expert and race driver who showed me this faulty work as stated in testimony and returning this for correction Mr. J. K. Rossiter their manager said "We don't do that kind of work." (And after the mechanic's verification and checking the car as stated in rebuttal of appellees oral defense proves case.

The Court: Your time is up.

Mr. Howie: Thank you your Honors. I know you could have stopped me at any time. Thank you very much.

The Court: Mr. Howie, you will be given a chance to talk after Mr. (Attorney) finishes.

Mr. Howie: Thank you your Honors.

Appellees Attorney: His only defense was that Mr. Howie knew of the car's broken parts and work and took the car and left.

Mr. Howie: Two points your Honors. United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., had their mechanic check the system claiming it was OK with the manager till I returned shortly after a few stops and refusal of a company car, the bosses' personal car, or an employee's car. Secondly the car had preformed perfectly with one-half brake pedal left returning it with caution (my father-in-law following at low speed.) They reinstated my safety for a couple of calls and return to them safely.

I stated somewhere above 1. I could not find the joke of Judge Knuck in testimony yet and 2. This judge ruled against me allowing other cases to present evidence yet I'm not allowed that privilege or right.

(How this court ruled no errors and not guilty is beyond me.)

(Whereupon, the trial was concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF DADE)

I, James Houston Howie, reporting as best possible this case due to lack of a reporter being present do hereby certify I have reported this transcript of hearing as best possible under the conditions present before the Honorable: C. J. Hendry, and J. Nathan, and Charles Carroll (Ret.), Associate Judge on February 9th, 1977 in the District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District January term, A.D. 1977; and that within transcript numbered pages 1 through 9 constitutes a true record as best possible of all notes and knowledge taken at that time.

Dated at Miami, Florida, this 28 day of March, 1976.

James Houston Howie
Reported by Appellant.

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE REHEARING PETITION AND, IF FILED, DISPOSED OF.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
OF FLORIDA

THIRD DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM, A.D. 1977

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
Appellant,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
Appellees.

CASE NO. 75-1690

Opinion filed February 15, 1977.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Dade County, Francis Knuck, Judge.

James Houston Howie, in pro person.

Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick & Knight and Fred R. Ober, for appellees.

Before HENDRY, C.J., and NATHAN, J., and CHARLES CARROLL (Ret.), Associate Judge.

PER CURIAM.

This appeal is by plaintiff, James Houston Howie, from an adverse final judgment entered in a personal injury action pursuant to a jury verdict.

We have carefully considered all points raised in plaintiff's appeal in the light of the record on appeal, briefs and arguments of plaintiff and counsel for the defendant and have concluded that no reversible error has been made to appear. Therefore, the judgment appealed is affirmed.

The question is: To or for whom's benefit was this conclusion of the judgment appealed affirmed.

Affirmed.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA

THIRD DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM, A.D. 1977

CASE NO. 75-1690

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
Appellant,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,
Appellees.

MOTION FOR EXPLANATION OF THIS COURTS OPENION FILED FEBRUARY 15, 1977 BY JUDGES: (C.J. HENDRY — J. NATHAN — CHARLES CARROLL (Ret.), ASSOCIATE JUDGE.)

Comes now the appellant, James Houston Howie, by and through himself, and respectfully moves this honorable court to grant an explanation as to its opinion filed February 15, 1977 of this case.

This court told the appellees through their attorney opposing me there you are going to rely upon submitted materials of some court, "Aren't you!"

This is the second time the court has told these appellees how to handle their case, once in the: The circuit court of the 11th Judicial Circuit in and for Dade County, Florida, General Jurisdiction Division, No. 70-22338 —

and here in the District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District January Term, A.D. 1977 where no transcript was taken where I will submit my own transcript as conclusive as possible within 30 days of filing of this motion.

I, the appellant, may not have been perfect in presenting my appeal as stated in my request for a new hearing (motion for rehearing, filed March 1, 1977 at 4:40 PM), but feel I won the arguments hands down.

My opposing attorney was told to keep his mouth shut but could not due to the force of my presentation claiming to be living in a hell so horrid that I had deeper cuts from the whip and heavier chains on my feet as well as my hands than did the negro slave in the movie "Roots" expressing the death of my child, removal of the rest of my children, loss of my wife, and my utter destruction holding up the Constitution and Bill of Rights to the court stating every right granted me by these two documents has been removed from me (and those like me) by them (pointing at him representing his client) (this attorney represented a member of the judicial system as well as wealth and power of the few in control of our nation as groups or individuals) through setting up of rules and regulations (only they could follow) and when my rights could not be taken here they took them by placing a cost on my rights so high only the rich could afford to buy them which makes the law strictly for the rich — do you know how much it cost me to appeal this case? "Thousands of dollars". I expressed the United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al., was guilty on 3 different counts and how they stated so outright 4 times plus one additional time saying they are guilty the first four of which I can prove outright guilt and so stated claiming I had additional proof this court was not interested in nor would they take my copy of the transcript I had clearly marked showing this proof of errors as well as proof of my claims which they refused (this court).

With all of this, this attorney could not keep his mouth shut as the court had requested. At his start the court told me to be quiet and speak at his finish which would be my time for rebuttal or argument. I did so.

His only poor defense was Mr. Howie knew of this faulty work done on these brakes and took his car.

I destroyed that with the facts of: 1. I made a request for a company car that he could correct his negligent work (refused) I ask for his car (refused) I ask for an employee's car just for a couple of stops (refused again). 2. They (management and mechanic) checked my car telling me I was safe to go which I accepted as correct and left because of No. 3 here. 3. I had driven it here with great caution fear and help and it worked fine with one half brake peddle performing great no problem. I expressed my right (and the public) to public safety by any business or person in quoting the case as stated in my motion for rehearing. My error was the case wasn't settled as it is now as stated in this motion for rehearing above.

I let the assignment of errors speak for themselves just touching a few of the bases.

How this court can rule that there were no errors horrifies me in where is my nation, America going? Is justice outdated? I expressed my horrors holding up the front page of *The Miami Herald* as well as the horror of the end of our nation. I've been sleeping in another man's car covered in ice pointing to the center picture; I quoted on Roots and Chico's death at the bottom; and I stated I had thoughts here the bottom right corner of 7 Bomb Blast in London Center but had better sense expressing I could cut crime by at least a third because I was forced into it but came out

clean. The Herald was dated: Sunday, January 22, 1977. (I also understand the shortages and emergencies at the top right.

This court has ruled manslaughter is legal, members of their system can outright take what they want, the court has the right to be prejudiced, the court may take sides and assist one party to the case, the judge may rule one party may present evidence and not allow another, the rights to a trial by jury as stated by the documents founding our nation need not be adhered to, having people on the jury you are suing is your peers, and so on as stated in my assignment of errors this court claims is not an error of justice in rendering their opinion filed February 15, 1977 which I the injured request their explanation for such an opinion. Is justice truly blind, deaf, and dumb? If it is, our nation is close to its end! That's a fact. Please reconsider your opinion and explain to me this error maybe mine in quoting a case not settled as it is now.

Wherefore, appellant respectfully moves this Honorable Court to grant this explanation (and rehearing) in this cause and to direct that the miscarriage of justice due where it lies be corrected for me, the public, and our nation that it can grow stronger through justice its true strength second only to its citizens for with them such cannot exist now.

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing motion for explanation of this court's opinion filed February 15, 1977 by Judges: (C. J. Hendry-J. Nathan-Charles Carroll (Ret.), Associate Judge.) was mailed to: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick, and Knight, Esquires, on behalf of the appellees (United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al.) who claim to me to be located at 25

West Flagler St., Miami, Florida 33130 representing United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al. against my claim this 16th day of March, 1977.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
James Houston Howie, Appellant
Post Office Box 701
Miami, Florida 33145

MOTION FOR REHEARING

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL THIRD DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 75-1690

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,

Appellant,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC., et al.,

Appellees.

COMES NOW the Appellant, JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE, by and through himself, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to grant a rehearing as to this cause and shows unto this Honorable Court as follows:

I. This Court overlooked the fact that in the case of JIMMIE HOUSTON HOWIE, v. UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., (formerly Uniroyal Corp.), a New York corporation, held IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION, No. 70-22338 Taken before the Honorable Francis X. Knuck on September 29th and 30th, 1975 That in this case, the Honorable Francis X. Knuck made an unintentionable error this Honorable Court chose not to exist as did the stenographer or court reporter in typing the Transcript of Testimony as a courtesy or such just being a No-No. I labeled this statement of the Honorable Francis X. Knuck as a joke meaning no harm but with all of my

claimed wrongs of this above stated case This Honorable Court of Appeals Rendered a verdict of not being errors through filing its opinion on February 15, 1977. This alone was enough to cause an adverse verdict to me, JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE the Appellant here. This Statement shocked and hurt me but I had to allow my attorney absolute control. That's why I hired him with complete trust as such I gave the Appellees here in accepting their expert mechanic's (so thought) advice and assurance my car was safe to drive along with the car's performance returning it to them so scared, I had my father-in-law follow me at low speed returning this murder weapon properly classified as a manslaughter weapon in that the death of Randy Howie, my youngest child was not intentional nor my near death or the could have been death of two young school girls on their way to school as we collided because of the incompetent work of this incompetent mechanic hired to check the competency of those working for the Appellees by the Appellees. As stated above, this mechanic and the man who hired him for the Appellees, who states this mechanic was incompetent on Page 132 of the submitted transcript of testimony saying "I felt he wasn't qualified." The eighth line from the bottom yet this employee of the Appellees, J. K. Rossiter hired this mechanic, Ramon Cachabella, as Services Manager "to see that the work was done properly." As stated in this transcript of testimony Page 130, Lines 19 and Lines 5 from the bottom. This mechanic, Ramon Cachabella admits he broke this brake system returning it in good faith as I had paid for a complete brake repair upon completion of such my implied as well as actual warranty would start to expire with this statement and assurances of both of these incompetent employees of the Appellees (J. K. Rossiter, hiring personnel manager and Ramon Cachabella, the head mechanic in charge of checking all other mechanic work to see that it is properly done),

with trust and competence they reinstated in me of good performance of their work and its apparent verification in returning the car for corrected repair and having only a couple of stops to make, I took my car and left to return shortly driving carefully not to cause any undue pressure or wear with full competence of a safe return. Only after a few minutes of driving after both these incompetent people checked my car and assured me I was safe as stated above, I was almost dead — Randy died later — and me and mine were destroyed utterly as much as possible — losing my family and children remaining forcing me into crime to exist in a hell so bad that if I could have traded places with Satan himself, It would have been Satan in hell not me and that is an honest fact I can also prove. My attorney told me the Appellees offered me $50,000.00 out of court settlement years ago which I cannot prove. The Appellees did offer me $10,000.00 which I can prove because they caused me to sign refusal to such. If the Appellees were not guilty, they certainly would not offer settlement which outright proves their guilt. As stated above, the mechanic admits breaking the brake system and returning the car in a state of complete repair and 3 times sending me out to kill any public citizen who crossed my path at the wrong time as did happen indirectly and almost directly due to his incompetence and lack of knowledge of his doings creating manslaughter in the death of my child Randy. The Appellees are guilty again for hiring such incompetent help to hire such incompetent help to check the competency of others when the lives of the public are in danger. This will be proven in reason two of this motion for rehearing. The appellees are guilty again because they did not replace the left rear broken part of the brake system. Page 152, Line 22 proves they are guilty. I have proof of such not being replaced again. They are fraudulent claiming the car completely repaired as the transcript of testimony proves this air would

work down into the cylinder causing this same brake failure. The Appellees are guilty again for negligent work in repairing my brake system as stated on Page 149, Line 19 from the bottom by Michael Davis in that the left rear was leaking brake fluid seen on the right rear inside wheel. These Appellees are so guilty they outright admit so five times. Three times they gave me this manslaughter weapon of a car to outright kill any public citizen. They are guilty on 3 counts: I. Breaking the left front wheel they admit. The court ruled that it must be considered closed. 2. The Appellees did not replace the broken parts of the left rear I have proof of as well as pictures. 3. The Appellees improperty repaired the left rear allowing it to leak. This so-called joke of the Honorable Francis X. Knuck put an air on this case which with others I claim errors destroyed all the above stated facts focusing on my claimed errors and the leading of the defense into the courts improper ruling claiming the broken part on one of the 3 guilty counts as closed. If a mechanic is so incompetent he twists a bleader valve made of steel off tightening it, surely he is guilty as charged. Common sense proves that such breakings occur when opening this valve because of corrosion and cohesion of like items with heat and cold as well as just setting themselves together which proves the courts ruling wrong because (this valve fought over) only needs hand tightening with slight snugging. The breaking off of such a valve made of steel proves the guilt of the Appellees outright through negligence which is what type a case this is proven so many times over, there is only one verdict anywhere even in other countries much less here of Guilty, Guilty, Guilty, Guilty, Guilty. The Appellees admit this guilt five times I can prove. I have witnesses of squirting break fluid.

2. My error in quoting a case not finalized and settled to this court must be the reason for its opinion filed

February 15, 1977. This case reached an out-of-court settlement of $1,775,000.00 after the jury award of $2,500,000.00 which I quoted. This occurred February 1977. The case was: Connie Francis vs. Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges. This proves my case of safety to the public in that Howard Johnson's Motor Lodges are guilty for not furnishing rooms safe enough for tenants (the public). Their guilt was based upon not having a night-chain on the door to see intruders before allowing such access to the tenants quarters plus not having enough light about such areas that such could be seen or identified preventing such attempted entries. Here, Mrs. Francis was raped at knifepoint (a little sex compared to my true hell not meaning to take anything from her true hell.) In the original jury award, she was also awarded $100,000.00 to her husband for his sexual impairment due to her action toward such hell. Sure she had a career and such but so did I as so stated to this court which was so great that Sears Roebuck & Co. had to send the store manager out of state to prevent him from being subpoenaed to court to prove such. He told the whole group of employees at my Sears store that I had a 200% plus increase in sales over the prior year my first year and was increasing greatly over that this year whereas almost every other department in the store was off of last years sales as well as many compliments of fantastic work I was doing till incompetent work outright admitted by the appellees proudly as their defense where as Howard Johnson's Motor Lodge did nothing and until the appellees destroyed me and my family putting me through a hell I claim no other would survive from, I claim foul.

Wherefore, Appellant respectfully moves this Honorable Court to grant a rehearing in this cause and to direct that the miscarriage of justice due where it lies be corrected for me, the public, and our nation that it can grow

stronger through justice its true strength second only to its citizens for with them such cannot exist.

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing motion for rehearing was mailed to: Fowler, White, Burnett, Hurley, Banick, and Knight, Esquires, on behalf of the Appellees (United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al.) who claim to me to be located at 25 West Flagler St., Miami, Florida 33130 representing United States Rubber Co. Inc., et al. against my claim this 1st day of March, 1977.

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE
James Houston Howie, Appellant
Post Office Box 701
Miami, Florida 33145

APPENDIX C

UNJUST ADVERSE INTERROGATORY VERDICT CAUSED FROM GENERAL COMMENT.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION

CASE NO. 70-22338 - Div. No. 8

JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE,
Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED STATES RUBBER CO., INC.,
Defendant.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY VERDICT

1. Was the Defendant, U.S. RUBBER CO., guilty of negligence which was a legal cause of the subject accident?

NO ✓ YES___

If your answer to question number one is NO, do not proceed further.

2. Was the Plaintiff JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE, guilty of negligence which was a legal cause of the subject accident?

NO___ YES___

3. If the answer to question one and two is YES, please give the percentage of their negligence which was a legal cause of the subject accident.

Defendant
U.S. RUBBER CO.
Plaintiff
JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE

%_____ %_____

4. Without regard to the percentage of fault on the part of the parties, what is the total amount of damages you find that the Plaintiff, JAMES HOUSTON HOWIE, sustained as a result of this accident?

$ [illegible]

9/30/75 [illegible]

DATE FOREMAN

APPENDIX D

THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE ON FINAL OPINION, RULING, OR VERDICT

In the Supreme Court of Florida Tuesday, July 19, 1977 it is ordered by the Court that said petition (petition for reinstatement) be and the same is hereby denied. (Case No. 51,850)

In the District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District January term, A.D. 1977 before Hendry, C.J., and NATHAN, J., and CHARLES CARROLL (Ret.) Associate Judge.

PER CURIAM.

This appeal is by plaintiff, James Houston Howie, from an adverse final judgment entered in a personal injury action pursuant to a jury verdict.

We have carefully considered all points raised in Plaintiff's appeal in the light of the record on appeal, briefs and arguments of plaintiff and counsel for the defendant and have concluded that no reversable error has been made to appear. Therefore, the judgment appealed is affirmed. Rehearing was denied March 14, 1977.

Affirmed. (Case No. 75-1690)

In the Circuit Court of the 11th Judicial Circuit, in and for Dade County, Florida: In the index to record on appeal to the District Court of Appeals Third District January term, A.D. 1977 from this court: Dated Sept. 30, 1975 (is a) special interrogatory verdict (adverse to me the petitioner)